



## Reflections on the 25th anniversary of Baumeister & Leary's seminal paper on the need to belong

Shira Gabriel

To cite this article: Shira Gabriel (2021) Reflections on the 25th anniversary of Baumeister & Leary's seminal paper on the need to belong, *Self and Identity*, 20:1, 1-5, DOI: [10.1080/15298868.2020.1850518](https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2020.1850518)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2020.1850518>



Published online: 22 Nov 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 77



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

ARTICLE



## Reflections on the 25th anniversary of Baumeister & Leary's seminal paper on the need to belong

Shira Gabriel

Psychology Department, SUNY University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

### ABSTRACT

This special issue celebrates the impact of Baumeister and Leary's paper on the need to belong on its 25<sup>th</sup> birthday. This seminal work identified the importance of social connections for humans, suggested that the human need to belong is analogous to our needs for food and water, and identified the invisible hand that guides much of the research in social psychology. The first three articles in this special issue provide examples of how the need to belong has expanded from Baumeister and Leary's original conception of a need for close relationships to a broader need for all kinds of social connections. The next two articles demonstrate the impact of the need to belong. The final two papers give the final word to the paper's original authors, Roy Baumeister and Mark Leary.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 30 August 2020  
Accepted 9 November 2020  
Published online 20  
November 2020

### KEYWORDS

Need to belong; belonging;  
social connection

Twenty-five years ago, Baumeister and Leary published a little (well, not so little) paper arguing that the need to belong was a pervasive and fundamental human motivation (Baumeister & Leary, 1995). They presented a compelling and exhaustive case that human beings are social at their core. They argued that humans thrive with relationships and suffer without them.

The beauty of this seminal work on the need to belong is that it didn't just lay out a thesis that humans do well with connections and poorly without, but that the need to belong is a fundamental human motivation and thus directs behavior, cognition, motivation, and emotion. By suggesting that our social needs are a driving force in our lives, they opened up the possibility that the need to belong affects human lives in ways of which we may not even be aware.

Although this paper was the first social psychological paper to make this argument, the need to belong influenced every seminal early work of social psychology. Would Festinger's participants have all agreed to help the experimenter by lying to participants if they did not care about belonging (Festinger, 1957)? Would Milgram's have given those electric shocks if defiance did not mean breaking a social contract (Milgram, 1963)? Would Asch's participants have pretended to agree with the other participants if it didn't matter so much to them to be accepted by a group of strangers (Asch, 1951)? Would those young boys in the Sherifs' summer camp have clung to their groups so tightly if they did not have an essential need to connect with others (Sherif et al., 1961)?

What Baumeister and Leary did a quarter of a century ago was bigger than identifying that people need social connections. It was bigger than suggesting that the human need to belong is analogous to our needs for food and water. Their seminal paper changed the face of social psychology because Baumeister and Leary identified the invisible hand that guides so much of the research in our field. In carefully, methodically, and brilliantly laying out the case for a fundamental need to belong they provided the field with a framework that we can use for understanding much of our discipline.

We can see evidence for this shift in the explosion of papers that cite this classic work. Over 10,000 of the 22,000 citations of this twenty-five year old paper come from the past five years. Why do we see this exponential growth in interest in a twenty-five year old paper? Because the need to belong has moved from being an ancillary theory to a foundational theory; we can increase our understanding of a broad range of social psychological phenomena by examining the role that the need to belong plays in those phenomena. In just the last two years the need to belong was used to increase understanding of drug addiction (Inagaki et al., 2020), politics (McDonald et al. (2020), religion (Lewis et al., 2020), mental illness (Kranabetter & Niessen, 2019), sports (Herbison et al., 2019), racial identity (Thelamour et al., 2019), organizational behavior (Shanock et al., 2019), radicalization (Ozer & Bertelsen, 2019), teenage parenting and education (Whiteway, 2019), gambling (Sirola et al., 2019), physical fitness (Evans et al., 2019), pet ownership (Johnson & Bruneau, 2019), self-esteem (Buckingham et al., 2019), metacognition (Gascó et al., 2018), video games (Coulson et al., 2018), racial and gender inequalities in STEM (Casad et al., 2018), persuasion (Ackerman, 2018), eating behavior (Her & Seo, 2018) stereotyping (Dennehy et al., 2018), and cross-cultural differences (Park et al., 2018).

In the last twenty-five years we have seen evidence not only that the need to belong is pervasive and powerful, but also that this very human and very strong need underlies all kinds of social phenomena. I believe that this trend will almost certainly continue. The accumulation of evidence that the need to belong plays a key role in so many areas will push us toward seeing the need to belong as *the* underlying theory of social psychology. In other words, we can explain the vast majority of the field of social psychology if we start with the proposition that humans are fundamentally social creatures who need to feel as if their place in a social world is safe and secure.

This special issue celebrates the impact Baumeister and Leary's thesis had on the field of psychology on its 25<sup>th</sup> birthday. I anticipate that future birthdays will reveal that the influence of this seminal work has only just begun.

The first three articles in this special issue provide examples of how the need to belong has expanded from Baumeister and Leary's original conception of a need for close relationships to a broader need for all kinds of social connections. Elaine Paravati, Esha Naidu and I, in our paper, *From "love actually" to love, actually: The sociometer takes every kind of fuel*, found that both traditional (e.g., close relationships) and nontraditional (e.g., social surrogates) social strategies are related to wellbeing. In their paper, *Kind Words Do Not Become Tired Words: Undervaluing the Positive Impact of Frequent Compliments*, Xuan Zhao and Nicholas Epley found that people underestimate the power of compliments which may lead people to refrain from expressing these thoughts more often in daily life. Finally, in their paper, *Why do people avoid talking to strangers? A mini meta-analysis of predicted fears and actual experiences talking to a stranger*, Gillian Sandstrom and Erica

Boothby found that people worry about conversations with strangers but that those conversations generally go quite well.

The next two articles demonstrate the impact of the need to belong. In their paper, *There must be more to life than this: The impact of highly-accessible exemplars on self-evaluation and discontent*, Shai Davidai, Sebastian Deri, and Thomas Gilovich's examination of how people's self-views are influenced by readily-accessible extreme exemplars adds to the literature suggesting that the way we define ourselves is driven by our place in the social world. In their paper, *Ostracized and Observed: The Presence of an Audience Affects the Experience of Being Excluded*, Andrew Hales, Miranda McIntyre, Selma Rudert, Kipling Williams, & Herbert Thomas found that having an audience to ostracism hurts when the audience is physically present but helps when it is distant.

We gave the final word to the paper's original authors, Mark Leary and Roy Baumeister.

In their paper, *Social exclusion reduces happiness by creating expectations of future rejection*, Hallgeir Sjøstad, Ming Zhang, Andreas Espegren Masvie, and Roy Baumeister found evidence that social exclusion is detrimental because it creates a generalized expectation of future rejection and lack of belonging. In other words, what is terrifying and demoralizing is the worry that we will be incapable of filling our need to belong in the future. In his paper, *The Need to Belong, the Sociometer, and the Pursuit of Relational Value: Unfinished Business*, Mark Leary looks back through the research and describes three projects that, although never published, might be of interest to researchers in this area. The three projects involved (a) distinguishing the effects of social exclusion from the effects of low relational value on reactions to rejection, (b) the effects of ambient darkness on the need to belong, and (c) the effect of acceptance and rejection on people's interpersonal aspirations.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

## References

- Ackerman, J. M. (2018). Persuasion by proxy: Effects of vicarious self-control use on reactions to persuasion attempts. *Social Cognition*, 36(3), 275–300. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2018.36.3.275>
- Asch, S. E. (1951). Effects of group pressure upon the modification and distortion of judgments. In H. Guetzkow (Ed.), *Groups, leadership and men; Research in human relations* (pp. 177–190). Carnegie Press.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.497>
- Buckingham, J. T., Lam, T. A., Andrade, F. C., Boring, B. L., & Emery, D. (2019). Reducing contingent self-worth: A defensive response to self-threats. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 159(3), 284–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2018.1461604>
- Casad, B. J., Oyler, D. L., Sullivan, E. T., McClellan, E. M., Tierney, D. N., Anderson, D. A., Greeley, P. A., Fague, M. A., & Flammang, B. J. (2018). Wise psychological interventions to improve gender and racial equality in STEM. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 21(5), 767–787. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430218767034>
- Coulson, M. C., Oskis, A., Meredith, J., & Gould, E. L. (2018). Attachment, attraction and communication in real and virtual worlds: A study of massively multiplayer online gamers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 87, 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.05.017>

- Dennehy, T. C., Smith, J. S., Moore, C., & Dasgupta, N. (2018). Stereotype threat and stereotype inoculation for underrepresented students in the first year of college. In R. S. Feldman (Ed.), *The first year of college: Research, theory, and practice on improving the student experience and increasing retention* (pp. 309–344). Cambridge University Press.
- Evans, M. B., Graupensperger, S., Benson, A. J., Eys, M., Hastings, B., & Gottschall, J. S. (2019). Group structure and entitativity in group fitness: Considering groupness at within- and between-group levels. *Psychology & Health, 34*(6), 715–732. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2019.1566548>
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Gascó, M., Briñol, P., Santos, D., Petty, R., & Horcajo, J. (2018). Where did this thought come from? A self-validation analysis of the perceived origin of thoughts. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin, 44*, 1615–1628. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167218775696>
- Her, E., & Seo, S. (2018). Why not eat alone? The effect of other consumers on solo dining intentions and the mechanism. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 70*, 16–24. (0278-4319). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2017.10.019>
- Herbison, J. D., Vierimaa, M., Côté, J., & Martin, L. J. (2019). The dynamic nature of connection and its relation to character in youth sport. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 17*(6), 568–577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2017.1423507>
- Inagaki, T. K., Hazlett, L. I., & Andreescu, C. (2020). Opioids and social bonding: Effect of naltrexone on feelings of social connection and ventral striatum activity to close others. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. General, 149*(4), 732–745. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000674>
- Johnson, A., & Bruneau, L. (2019). Pets and relationships: How animals help us understand ourselves and our connections with others. In L. Kogan & C. Blazina (Eds.), *Clinician's guide to treating companion animal issues: Addressing human-animal interaction* (pp. 173–191). Elsevier Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812962-3.00011-3>
- Kranabetter, C., & Niessen, C. (2019). Appreciation and depressive symptoms: The moderating role of need satisfaction. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 24*(6), 629–640. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000153>
- Lewis, S. H., Sanderson, C., Gupta, A., & Klein, C. (2020). 'Maybe it's kind of normal to hear voices': The role of spirituality in making sense of voice hearing. *Journal of Spirituality in Mental Health, 22*(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19349637.2018.1520183>
- McDonald, R. I., Salerno, J. M., Greenaway, K. H., & Slepian, M. L. (2020). Motivated secrecy: Politics, relationships, and regrets. *Motivation Science, 6*(1), 61–78. [https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000139.suppl\(Supplemental\)](https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000139.suppl(Supplemental))
- Milgram, S. (1963). Behavioral study of obedience. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 67*(4), 371–378. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.599.92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040525>
- Ozer, S., & Bertelsen, P. (2019). Countering radicalization: An empirical examination from a life psychological perspective. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology, 25*(3), 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000394>
- Park, J., Yamaguchi, S., Sawaumi, T., & Morio, H. (2018). Dialectical thinking and its influence in the world: A new perspective on East Asians' control orientations. In J. Spencer-Rodgers & K. Peng (Eds.), *The psychological and cultural foundations of East Asian cognition: Contradiction, change, and holism* (pp. 309–334). Oxford University Press.
- Shanock, L. R., Eisenberger, R., Heggstad, E. D., Malone, G., Clark, L., Dunn, A. M., Kirkland, J., & Woznyj, H. (2019). Treating employees well: The value of organizational support theory in human resource management. *The Psychologist-Manager Journal, 22*(3–4), 168–191. <https://doi.org/10.1037/mgr0000088>
- Sherif, M., Harvey, O. J., White, B. J., Hood, W., & Sherif, C. W. (1961). *Intergroup conflict and cooperation: The Robbers cave experiment*. The University Book Exchange.
- Sirola, A., Kaakinen, M., Savolainen, I., & Oksanen, A. (2019). Loneliness and online gambling-community participation of young social media users. *Computers in Human Behavior, 95*(1), 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.023>
- Thelamour, B., George Mwangi, C., & Ezeofor, I. (2019). "We need to stick together for survival": Black college students' racial identity, same-ethnic friendships, and campus connectedness. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 12*(3), 266–279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000104>

Whiteway, S. (2019). A narrative exploration of the impact of belonging on the educational experiences of teenage fathers. *Educational and Child Psychology, 36*(2), 34–49.