

This is the *Accepted Manuscript* of an article published by Elsevier, in *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, 120, Article 105991 © 2024. The manuscript is reprinted here with permission from Elsevier and is further available online <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2024.105991>

Oral Diadochokinetic Markers of X-linked Dystonia-Parkinsonism

Tabitha H. Kao^a, Hannah P. Rowe^b, Jordan R. Green^a, Kaila L. Stipanovic^c, Nutan Sharma^{d,e}, Jan K. de Guzman^{f,g}, Melanie L. Supnet-Wells^{d,e}, Patrick Acuna^{d,e}, Bridget J. Perry^{a,*}

^a MGH Institute of Health Professions, 36 1st Ave, Charlestown Navy Yard, Boston, MA, 02129, United States; THK (tkao@mghihp.edu), JRG (jgreen2@mghihp.edu)

^b Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, Boston University, 677 Beacon St, Boston, MA, 02215, United States; HPR (hprowe@bu.edu)

^c Department of Communicative Disorders and Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 14214, United States; KLS (klstip@buffalo.edu)

^d Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02114, United States; NS (nsharma@partners.org), MLS (mSUPNET@mgh.harvard.edu), PA (pacuna1@mgh.harvard.edu)

^e The Collaborative Center for X-linked Dystonia-Parkinsonism, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA, 02129, United States

^f Department of Neurology, Jose Reyes Memorial Medical Center, Manila, Metro Manila, 1012, Philippines; JKG (jekneuromd511@gmail.com)

^g Sunshine Care Foundation, The Health Centrum, Roxas City, Capiz, 5800, Philippines

* 39 1st Ave, Rm 238, Charlestown Navy Yard, Charlestown, MA 02129, United States, bjperry@mghihp.edu, (617) 643-0863

Key words: X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism (XDP), mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype, acoustic analysis, motor speech

Abstract

Introduction: X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism (XDP) is a neurodegenerative disorder that may result in severe speech impairment. The literature suggests that there are differences in the speech of individuals with XDP and healthy controls. This study aims to examine the motor speech characteristics of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase of XDP.

Method: We extracted acoustic features representing coordination, consistency, speed, precision, and rate from 26 individuals with XDP and 26 controls using Praat, MATLAB, and R software. Group demographics were compared using descriptive statistics. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's post hoc test was used to test for acoustic differences between the two groups.

Results: The XDP group had significantly lower consistency, speed, precision, and rate than controls ($p < 0.05$). For coordination, the XDP group had a smaller ratio of pause duration during transitions when compared to controls.

Discussion: To our knowledge, this study is the first to describe the motor speech characteristics of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase of XDP. The motor speech of mixed dystonia-parkinsonism XDP is similar to prior characterizations of mixed hyperkinetic-hypokinetic dysarthria with noted differences in articulatory coordination, consistency, speed, precision, and rate from healthy controls. Identifying the motor speech components of all three phenotypes of XDP (i.e., dystonia-dominant phase, parkinsonism-dominant phase, and mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase) is needed to establish markers of speech impairment to track disease progression.

44 **Introduction**

45 X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism (XDP) is a rare adult-onset neurodegenerative disorder,
46 occurring at a rate of 0.34 per 100,000 in the general Philippines population [1]. The three
47 phenotypes of XDP – dystonia-dominant, parkinsonism-dominant, and mixed dystonia-
48 parkinsonism – are categorized based on the dominant symptoms. The disease course of XDP is
49 highly variable, with reports describing dominant dystonia or parkinsonism signs at the onset
50 transitioning into the other phenotype over time [1-3].

51 Speech impairments have been reported in individuals with XDP [3]. One study by
52 Zaninotto et al. [4] compared the speech of individuals with XDP to healthy controls, finding
53 significant differences between the two groups on multiple clinical ratings and acoustic speech
54 features. The establishment of acoustic markers for XDP suggests that these features may be able
55 to differentiate between XDP and other populations such as healthy controls.

56 Recent work by Rowe et al. [5, 6] developed and validated a comprehensive acoustic-
57 analysis framework, comprised of five components of motor performance: coordination,
58 consistency, speed, precision, and rate. This framework sought to help characterize disease-
59 specific speech characteristics across divergent speech motor-impaired populations, such as
60 Parkinson's disease (PD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The goal of this current study
61 was to examine and profile the speech motor impairments in individuals with XDP using the
62 aforementioned framework. We hypothesized, based on the presence of both hypokinetic
63 (parkinsonism) and hyperkinetic (dystonia) symptoms in our participants, that individuals with
64 the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype of XDP will have a hypokinetic-hyperkinetic
65 dysarthria. Identifying aberrant speech attributes is a critical first step for enhancing our
66 understanding of the progression of speech impairments in XDP.

67 Method**68 *Participants***

69 All participants underwent a standardized examination by a bilingual neurologist trained
70 by the Speech and Feeding Disorders Lab at the MGH Institute of Health Professions (Boston,
71 Massachusetts). Exclusion criteria included concurrent medical illness, deep brain stimulation,
72 and cognitive dysfunction determined by the neurologist. The study was approved by the
73 Institutional Review Boards at Jose Reyes Hospital (Manila, Philippines) and Mass General
74 Brigham Healthcare (Boston, Massachusetts). All participants provided written informed consent
75 to share de-identified data with the Dystonia Partners Research Bank.

76 All participants in the XDP group were genetically confirmed to have the mutated gene,
77 presented with both dystonia and parkinsonism symptoms at evaluation, and spoke Filipino as
78 their primary language. The control group included relatives of the participants with XDP; they
79 were 18 years or older, native Filipino speakers, and genetically negative for XDP. Participants
80 in both groups were balanced by sex. Because the independent samples T-test revealed no
81 statistical differences in age between groups, we did not age-match when conducting statistical
82 analyses.

83 *Assessments*

84 The participants completed all tasks and questionnaires in their first language, except for
85 the Pledge of Allegiance recitation task, which was standardized and read in Filipino by all
86 participants. The presence of dystonia, parkinsonism, or both was determined using the
87 Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS), the
88 Burke-Fahn-Marsden (BFM) Dystonia Scale, and the Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis
89 Rating Scale (TWSTRS) by a team of movement disorder neurologists who established

90 consensus ratings after simultaneous review of a standardized videotape exam. After collection,
91 data was securely transferred from the Philippines to Boston for analysis.

92 *SMR Data Collection*

93 The neurologist collected acoustic data using a Zoom audio recorder. All participants in
94 the XDP and control groups completed the SMR task, a non-speech task for which they were
95 instructed to repeat the syllable sequence /ba/-/da/-/ka/ as quickly and accurately as possible in a
96 single breath. Although the syllables /pa/, /ta/, and /ka/ are typically used for SMR tasks, we used
97 /ba/, /da/, and /ka/ given the presence of these sounds in Filipino. We used the SMR task to
98 assess motor speech because it is efficient, widely implemented in clinical settings, sensitive to
99 bulbar motor involvement in neurological diseases, and provides information about each
100 component of articulatory motor control [6-7]. For example, articulatory precision can be derived
101 from the differences in /b/, /d/, and /k/ production across repetitions [6-7].

102 *SMR Data Preparation*

103 Audacity® (version 3.1.3) was used to screen the audio file quality. Participants were
104 excluded from the data analysis if their audio files contained background noise or inadequate
105 syllable quality. After screening, we used Praat (version 6.1.16) to parse the audio files.
106 Maximum formant frequency was set to 5000 Hz for males and 5500 Hz for females based on
107 recommendations from Praat. We analyzed the first three valid repetitions of /ba/-/da/-/ka/ based
108 on the clarity of the signal.

109 *SMR Data Analysis*

110 After parsing, we analyzed the audio files using the acoustic analysis methods described
111 by Rowe et al. [6], extracting the five components of articulatory motor control (coordination,
112 consistency, speed, precision, and rate). Custom MATLAB (version R2019a) and R (version

113 4.2.1) scripts were used to calculate each component. Coordination was indexed by the ratio of
114 pause duration during the transition of /ba-/da/ and /da-/ka/ to the syllable length. Consistency
115 was indexed by the standard deviation of syllable duration across repetitions of /ba-/da-/ka/.
116 Speed was indexed by the second formant (F2) slope of the consonant-vowel transition in /k-/a/.
117 Precision was indexed by the standard deviation of the F2 slope of the consonant-vowel
118 transitions of /b-/a/, /d-/a/, and /k-/a/ within each repetition of /badaka/. Finally, rate was
119 indexed by the number of syllables produced per second across three repetitions of /badaka/.

120 *Statistical Analysis*

121 Prior to data analysis, we aggregated all data to the person-level so that all individuals,
122 including those who contributed more than one audio file, were represented only once. Due to
123 adequate sample size and normally distributed parameters of the acoustic framework
124 components, we ran a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for two-group comparisons
125 between healthy controls and individuals with the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase of XDP.
126 To adjust for multiple comparisons, we used Tukey's test for post-hoc analysis. For effect size,
127 we used Cohen's *d* for all components. To investigate the relationship between the acoustic
128 framework components and the dystonia and parkinsonism scales, we performed a correlation
129 analysis using Pearson's correlation as the variables are continuous. We reported demographic
130 data using descriptive statistics such as means, standard deviation, and percentages.

131 **Results**

132 *Participants*

133 A total of 26 individuals with XDP (25 males, 1 female) and 26 controls (25 males, 1
134 female) were included in the data analysis. Of the participants in the XDP group who contributed
135 more than one audio file, there were six months in between the recordings, during which there

136 were no changes in symptoms. The demographic and clinical characteristics of both the control
 137 and XDP groups are found in Table 1. Additionally, this table contains the dystonia and
 138 parkinsonism symptoms present in the XDP group at the time of evaluation. For both dystonia
 139 and parkinsonism, we defined bulbar symptoms as those affecting bulbar function (e.g., dystonia:
 140 face, mouth, and larynx; parkinsonism: bradykinesia, hypophonia, and rigidity). Symptoms that
 141 occurred elsewhere were considered non-bulbar (e.g., dystonia: foot, arm, and hand;
 142 parkinsonism: postural instability, micrographia, and shuffling gait).

	Control Group (n = 26)		XDP Group (n = 26)	
Demographic Data				
Evaluation Age, <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i> ; range)	38.58 (11.41; 22-63)		42.59 (10.28; 25-69)	
Diagnosis Age, <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i> ; range)	Not applicable		38.88 (9.97; 18-64)	
XDP Duration, <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i> ; range)	Not applicable		4.38 years (4.87; 0-21)	
Clinical Data				
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
MDS-UPDRS				
Part 1	2.40	2.51	7.67	6.92
Part 2	.33	.88	16.11	11.15
Part 3	3.97	3.63	34.17	15.15
Part 4	0	0	3.76	3.31
BFM				
Movement	0	0	21.89	21.47
Disability	.04	.20	8.13	5.11
TWSTRS				
Disability	0	0	6.39	9.58
Pain	0	0	2.95	4.40
XDP Phenotype Present at Data Collection				
	<i>n</i>		<i>%</i>	
Dystonia-Dominant	0		0	
Parkinsonism-Dominant	0		0	
Mixed Dystonia-Parkinsonism	26		100	
Symptom at Data Collection				
	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
Dystonia				
Bulbar	Not present	Not present	13	50.0
Non-bulbar	Not present	Not present	2	7.60
Unspecified	Not present	Not present	11	42.31
Parkinsonism				
Bulbar	Not present	Not present	11	42.31
Non-bulbar	Not present	Not present	3	11.54
Unspecified	Not present	Not present	12	46.15

Table 1. Clinical data of all participants

144 *Acoustic Analysis*

145 For coordination, the XDP group ($M = -.19, SD = .08$) had a significantly smaller ratio of
 146 pause duration during transitions compared to healthy controls ($M = -.35, SD = .11$). For the
 147 other components, the XDP group had significantly lower consistency ($M = -17.46, SD = 6.70$),
 148 speed ($M = .05, SD = 1.54$), precision ($M = .58, SD = 2.59$), and rate ($M = 5.95, SD = 1.15$)
 149 compared to controls ($M = -13.28, SD = 6.67$; $M = .40, SD = 6.67$; $M = 7.70, SD = 4.03$; and $M =$
 150 $7.10, SD = 1.15$, respectively). These results are further described in Table 2.

151 Also included in Table 2 is a correlation analysis of the aforementioned acoustic
 152 framework components with the dystonia (BFM Movement and Disability, and TWSTRS
 153 Disability and Pain) and parkinsonism scales (MDS-UPDRS 1-4). Overall, the acoustic features
 154 have weak to moderately strong correlations with the dystonia and parkinsonism scales. In
 155 particular, coordination, precision, and rate had the strongest correlations with the disease scales,
 156 with coordination having moderately strong correlations with parkinsonism (MDS-UPDRS Part
 157 4) and dystonia (BFM Disability), precision with the parkinsonism (MDS-UPDRS Part 3), and
 158 rate with dystonia (BFM Disability).

Components	Control <i>M(SD)</i>	XDP <i>M(SD)</i>	DF	Mean Square	<i>F</i> value	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted <i>p</i> -value	Cohen's <i>d</i>
Coordination	-.35 (.11)	-.19 (.08)	1	.31	33.36	<.001**	<.001**	1.60
Consistency	-13.28 (6.67)	-17.46 (6.70)	1	5.06	5.06	.03*	.03*	.62
Speed	.40 (6.67)	-.05 (1.54)	1	11.46	11.46	<.001**	<.001**	.94
Precision	7.70 (4.03)	4.58 (2.59)	1	11.06	11.06	.002*	.002*	.92
Rate	7.10 (1.09)	5.95 (1.15)	1	17.02	13.49	<.001**	<.001**	1.02

Components	MDS- UPDRS 1	MDS- UPDRS 2	MDS- UPDRS 3	MDS- UPDRS 4	BFM Movement	BFM Disability	TWSTRS Disability	TWSTRS Pain
Coordination	.16	.38*	.38*	.43*	.20	.49**	.21	.25
Consistency	-.29*	-.24	-.31	-.23	-.33*	-.31*	-.18	-.25
Speed	-.29*	-.35*	-.38*	-.31*	-.36*	-.33*	-.27	-.22
Precision	-.25	-.33*	-.40*	-.30*	-.30	-.31*	-.21	-.20
Rate	-.30*	-.39*	-.24	-.37*	-.36*	-.40*	-.34*	-.31*

Table 2. One-way comparisons for the five motor speech components (top) and correlations between motor speech components with dystonia and parkinsonism scales (bottom). DF = degrees of freedom. * = statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$; ** = statistically significant at $p \leq 0.001$.

Discussion

At present, there is limited knowledge regarding the speech characteristics of the different XDP phenotypes (i.e., dystonia-dominant phase, parkinsonism-dominant phase, and mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase). To explore the articulatory motor control of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype, our study employed an acoustic analysis framework introduced by Rowe et al. [10]. Based on our findings, individuals with this phenotype appear to possess distinct motor speech characteristics reflective of hypokinetic-hyperkinetic dysarthria.

The speech motor control characteristics of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype differ from those of healthy controls.

Identifying motor speech characteristics that distinguish individuals with XDP from healthy controls and other diagnoses is crucial to early disease detection and differential diagnosis. Our findings indicated that the motor speech characteristics of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype are distinguishable from those of healthy controls in articulatory coordination, consistency, speed, precision, and rate. This profile of articulatory impairment differs from those previously reported in other neurodegenerative diseases such as PD and ALS. Compared to healthy controls, individuals with ALS had impairments in coordination, speed, precision, and rate, while individuals with PD had impairments in speed [5].

The speech characteristics of mixed dystonia-parkinsonism XDP reflect hypokinetic-hyperkinetic dysarthria.

Although we cannot definitively categorize the motor speech impairment of individuals with the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype of XDP as hypokinetic-hyperkinetic dysarthria, we suspect that the combination of hypokinetic characteristics (e.g., bradykinesia, tremor, rigidity, and hypophonia) and hyperkinetic characteristics (e.g., involuntary movement or flexion

182 of the facial structures) present in our participants results in this specific type of dysarthria.
183 Specifically, hypokinetic dysarthria may be attributed to the limited range of motion observed in
184 parkinsonism, whereas hyperkinetic dysarthria was a consequence of the pronounced movements
185 associated with dystonia.

186 This impact of dystonia and parkinsonism symptoms on the motor speech of individuals
187 with XDP appears to be supported by the results of our correlation analysis. For dystonia
188 symptoms, all components of the acoustic framework were correlated with the BFM. They were
189 less likely related with the TWSTRS, perhaps because this assessment focuses on experiences of
190 daily living in cervical dystonia [11] whereas the BFM assesses the movement, disability, and
191 pain associated with various types of dystonia in areas including speech (coordination,
192 consistency, speed, precision, and rate) [12]. Likewise, for parkinsonism symptoms, Parts 2, 3,
193 and 4 of the MDS-UPDRS were more correlated with all components of the acoustic framework
194 compared to Part 1, likely because these sections involve evaluating motor function, including
195 speech production (coordination), modulation (consistency, speed, rate), and clarity (precision),
196 whereas Part 1 concerns non-motor experiences of daily living [13].

197 Specific motor speech characteristics of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype of
198 XDP consistent with a hypokinetic-hyperkinetic dysarthria include prolonged phonemes
199 (coordination), variable rate (consistency), slow rate (speed, rate), and imprecise articulation
200 (precision). These are similar to the symptoms experienced by participants in a study conducted
201 by Rusz et al. [9]. Those participants also presented with what appeared to be a hypokinetic-
202 hyperkinetic dysarthria after developing dystonia and parkinsonism symptoms following
203 ephedrone abuse.

204 Inconsistent with our expectations, we found a higher value of coordination for the XDP
205 group compared to controls. This measure was initially developed to index discoordination from
206 apraxic planning deficits that are characterized by groping and difficulty with syllable transition.
207 These behaviors are not commonly found in hypokinetic and hyperkinetic dysarthria [10].
208 Furthermore, when we examined the underlying mechanisms of the coordination component, we
209 found significantly smaller gaps between syllables and significantly longer syllable production in
210 the XDP group compared to controls. Thus, our coordination measure may have captured the
211 shortened articulation resulting from truncated gestures, a characteristic typical of hypokinetic
212 dysarthria [14]. It is possible that these behaviors reflect a compensatory strategy used to
213 maintain speech rate despite physical impairment as observed in neurodegenerative diseases such
214 as ALS [15]. Further research is needed to identify measures that can more accurately capture
215 incoordination specific to hyperkinetic-hypokinetic dysarthria.

216 *Limitations and Conclusions*

217 This study had several limitations warranting consideration. Firstly, our analysis was
218 limited to individuals with only the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phenotype of XDP. Future
219 studies should identify the motor speech components of coordination, consistency, speed,
220 precision, and rate for the dystonia-dominant and parkinsonism-dominant phenotypes as well.
221 Furthermore, future research should prioritize conducting direct comparative studies examining
222 the speech characteristics of XDP in relation to other neurological disorders, such as ALS and
223 PD. Discerning these disparities is paramount for enhancing differential diagnosis and tracking
224 disease progression. Additionally, future research should consider variations in disease duration,
225 given that the motor speech characteristics might exhibit dissimilarities across the early and late
226 stages of the disease cycle, as Rowe et al. [5] found in their study of ALS and PD. Lastly, we did

227 not have information regarding the pharmacological state of the individuals with XDP. While it
228 is possible that medication like levodopa or tetrabenazine may impact the speech performance of
229 individuals with XDP, the exact effects are unclear and difficult to predict.

230 Despite these limitations, our study contributes to the current literature by making a first
231 attempt to profile the articulatory impairments of the mixed dystonia-parkinsonism phase of
232 XDP. We identified a unique profile of motor speech impairments that included differences in
233 coordination, consistency, speed, precision, and rate. With additional research, our findings have
234 the potential to inform early and differential diagnosis of XDP.

235 **Acknowledgments**

236 The content of this paper was presented at the 2022 American Speech-Language-Hearing
237 Association in New Orleans, Louisiana, United States.

238 Funding: This work was supported by the Massachusetts General Hospital Collaborative
239 Center for X-linked Dystonia-Parkinsonism, Boston, MA; the National Institutes of Health
240 (NIH) National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders [grant number
241 K24DC0016312]; and the NIH National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke [grant
242 number K23NS123369].

243 We thank Dr. Perman Gochyyev at the MGH Institute of Health Professions for his
244 assistance with data analysis.

245 **Data Availability Statement**

246 Raw data was collected in the Philippines in partnership with Massachusetts General
247 Hospital. Derived data supporting the study findings are available from the corresponding author.

248 **Author Roles**

249 Research Project: Conception – BJP, HPR, JRG, NS, JKG, MLS, PA. Data Acquisition –

250 KLS, MLS, JKG. Organization – THK. Execution – THK, HPR.

251 Statistical Analysis: Design – HPR. Execution – THK, HPR. Review and Critique –

252 HPR.

253 Manuscript Preparation: First Draft – THK. Final Draft – THK. Review and Critique:

254 BJP, HPR, JRG, KLS, NS, JKG, MLS, PA.

255 **References**

- 256 [1] Lee L.V., Maranon E., Demaisip C., Peralta O., Borres-Icasiano R., Arancillo J., Rivera C.,
257 Munoz E., Tan K., Reyes M.T. The natural history of sex-linked recessive dystonia
258 parkinsonism of Panay, Philippines. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 9 (2002) 29-38.
259 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1353-8020\(02\)00042-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1353-8020(02)00042-1)
- 260 [2] Evidente V.G., Gwinn-Hardy K., Hardy J., Hernandez D., Singleton A. X-linked dystonia
261 (“Lubag”) presenting predominantly with parkinsonism: A more benign phenotype?
262 *Mov. Disord.* 17 (2002) 200-202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.1263>
- 263 [3] Lee L.V., Rivera C., Teleg R.A., Dantes M.B., Pasco P.M.D., Jamora R.D.G., Arancillo J.,
264 Villareal-Jordan R.F., Rosales R.L., Demaisip C., Maranon E., Peralta O., Borres R.,
265 Tolentino C., Monding M.J., Sarcia S. The unique phenomenology of sex-linked dystonia
266 parkinsonism (XDP, DYT3, “Lubag”). *Int. J. Neurosci.* 121 (2011) 3-11.
267 <https://doi.org/10.3109/00207454.2010.526728>
- 268 [4] Zaninotto A.L., de Guzman J.K., Stipancic K.L., Perry B.J., Supnet M.L., Go C., Sharma N.,
269 Green J.R. Speech and swallowing deficits in X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism.
270 *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 89 (2021) 105-110.
271 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2021.07.006>
- 272 [5] Rowe H.P., Gutz S.E., Maffei M.F., Green J.R. Acoustic-based articulatory phenotypes of
273 amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Parkinson’s disease: towards an interpretable,
274 hypothesis-driven framework of motor control. *Interspeech.* (2020) 4816-4820.
275 <https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2020-1459>
- 276 [6] Rowe H.P., Stipancic K.L., Lammert A.C., Green J.R. Validation of an acoustic-based
277 framework of speech motor control: assessing criterion and construct validity using

- 278 kinematic and perceptual measures. *J. Speech Lang. Hear. Res.* 64 (2021) 4736-4753.
279 https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_JSLHR-21-00201
- 280 [7] Rong P., Yunusova Y., Richburg B., Green, J.R. Automatic extraction of abnormal lip
281 movement features from the alternating motion rate task in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
282 *Int. J. Speech Lang. Pathol.* 20 (2018) 610-623.
283 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17549507.2018.1485739>
- 284 [8] Rowe H. P., Gutz S. E., Maffei M. F., Tomanek K., Green J. R. Characterizing dysarthria
285 diversity for automatic speech recognition: a tutorial from the clinical perspective. *Front.*
286 *Comput. Sci.* 4 (2022) 770210. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2022.770210>
- 287 [9] Rusz J., Megrelishvili M., Bonnet C., Okujava M., Brožová H., Khatiashvili I., Sekhniashvili
288 M., Janelidze M., Tolosa, E., Růžicka E. A distinct variant of mixed dysarthria reflects
289 parkinsonism and dystonia due to ephedrone abuse. *J. Neural Transm.* 121 (2014) 655-
290 664. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-014-1158-6>
- 291 [10] Rowe H.P., Gochyyev P., Lammert A.C., Lowit A., Spencer K.A., Dickerson B.C., Berry
292 J.D., Green J.R. The efficacy of acoustic-based articulatory phenotyping for
293 characterizing and classifying four divergent neurodegenerative diseases using sequential
294 motion rates. *J. Neural Transm.* 129 (2022) 1487-1511. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-022-02550-0)
295 [022-02550-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-022-02550-0)
- 296 [11] Albanese A., Del Sorbo, F. C. Cynthia, Jinnah H. A., Mink J. W., Post B., Vidailhet M.,
297 Volkmann J., Warner T. T., Leentjens A. F. G., Martinez-Martin P., Stebbins G. T.,
298 Goetz C. G., Schrag A. Dystonia rating scales: critique and recommendations. *Mov.*
299 *Disord.* 28 (2013) 874-883. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25579>

- 300 [12] Burke R. E., Fahn S., Marsden C. D., Bressman S. B., Moskowitz C., Friedman J. Validity
301 and reliability of a rating scale for the primary torsion dystonias. *Neurology*. 31 (1985).
302 <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.35.1.73>
- 303 [13] Goetz C. G., Tilley B. C., Shaftman S. R., Stebbins G. T., Fahn S. Martinez-Martin P., et al.
304 Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease
305 Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Mov.*
306 *Disord.* 23 (2008) 2129-2170. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22340>
- 307 [14] Kim Y., Weismer G., Kent R.D., Duffy J. R. Statistical models of F2 slope in relation to
308 severity of dysarthria. *Folia Phoniatr. Logop.* 61 (2009) 329-335.
309 <https://doi.org/10.1159/000252849>
- 310 [15] Perry B.J., Martino R., Yunusova Y., Plowman E.K., Green J.R. Lingual and jaw kinematic
311 abnormalities precede speech and swallowing impairments in ALS. *Dysphagia*. 33 (2018)
312 840-847. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-018-9909-4>