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FINAL REPORT
The University at Buffalo Center for Urban Studies, in partnership with the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM), has conducted a Community Health Needs Assessment to inform the design and implementation of a neighborhood and faith based health care delivery system for Medicaid eligible residents in GRUM neighborhoods. The purpose of this Community Health Needs Assessment is to identify the unmet health challenges for the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) communities in Erie and Niagara Counties. It will outline a strategy for addressing those needs for developing a set of health indicators and metrics to monitor progress in meeting those needs. This assessment, then, will provide GRUM and its prime partner, the Greater Buffalo United Affordable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN) with the framework needed to forge an implementation strategy to address the unmet health needs of the GRUM community.
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The Community Health Needs Assessment will be divided into four (4) parts. The first part will introduce the study, provide an overview of data and methods used in this study and provide an overview of the relationship between GRUM and GBUAHN. The second part will focus on the Erie County Health Challenge, while specifically concentrating on the health problems in the black and Latinos communities. Part three will examine the GRUM Community by taking an indepth look at neighborhood and housing conditions. The fourth part will focus on recommendations.
### ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>Affordable Care Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNMC</td>
<td>Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUDC</td>
<td>Buffalo Urban Development Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNI</td>
<td>Community Needs Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Census Tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMC</td>
<td>Erie County Medical Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPL</td>
<td>Federal Poverty Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM</td>
<td>Greater Buffalo United Ministries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBUAHN</td>
<td>Greater Buffalo United Affordable Healthcare Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>High School Diploma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>Independent Physician Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFPR</td>
<td>Labor Force Participation Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQI</td>
<td>Preventive Quality Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Veterans Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZOC</td>
<td>Zone of Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The quest for wellness and good health is the top challenge facing blacks, Latinos and other low-income groups living in precarious neighborhoods in Greater Buffalo. If people are in poor health they will not be able to meet successfully the challenge of making ends meet and building a better life for themselves and their families. The purpose of this Community Health Needs Assessment is to identify the unmet health challenges of the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) communities in Erie and Niagara Counties. It will outline a strategy for addressing those needs, and to develop a set of performance indicators and metrics to monitor progress in meeting those needs. This assessment will provide GRUM and the Greater Buffalo United Affordable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN) with the framework needed to forge an implementation strategy to address the unmet health needs of the GRUM community.

GRUM’s target population is blacks and Latinos between the ages of 18 and 64 years who are eligible for Medicaid and who have multiple chronic diseases or who have one chronic disease, but are at-risk for acquiring another. GRUM’s goal is to enroll these individuals in the Medicaid program, bolster their access to the health care system and to improve the quality of their care in that system. GRUM will use success in this phase of its strategic planning process as a foundation upon which to launch other health programs and activities to improve health outcomes among blacks and Latinos.

In this community health needs assessment, a social determinants of health model was utilized to study the role played by socioeconomic and neighborhood factors in producing undesirable health outcomes in Erie County. This perspective is informed by the view that these social determinants of health are interwoven with everyday life and culture in the black and Latino communities. Therefore, they can only be understood fully within a neighborhood context.

Figure 1: The Social Determination Model

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
DATA AND METHODS
A variety of data and sources were used in this study of the health needs in the Greater Buffalo GRUM community. A number of local reports on community health needs were studied, along with a variety of databases on health issues, including the County Health Rankings by the Robert Wood Johnson and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, the New York State Department of Health’s Erie County Health Rankings by Race and Ethnicity, the New York State Erie County Indicators for Tracking Public Health Priority Areas, and the Preventive Quality Indicators for New York State. In addition, to gain insight into the Medicaid populations and health data on Erie County, the Western and Central New York Safety Net (wcnysafteynet.org) database was utilized.

To gain insight into the population demographics, the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey, 2008-2012 was consulted through Social Explorer. The American FactFinder 2 search engine on the U.S. Census Bureau website was used to complement the Social Explorer data when necessary. Additionally, the U.S. Census Bureau Zip Code Data Base was used to supplement demographic data on the GRUM population. To better understand the income dynamics impacting the GRUM community, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), FY 2014 Income Limits Documentation System, Erie County, New York, and the Penn State Living Wage Calculator, “Poverty in American Living Wage Calculator” were consulted.” Data on neighborhood conditions were gathered from a variety of sources. In order to understand the walkability of neighborhoods, we used the walkscore database and neighborhoodscout.com. In both instances, the address of a particular GRUM church was used as the neighborhood focal point. We also used the Dignity Health Community Needs Index (CNI).

The CNI accounts for the underlying economic and structural barriers that affect overall health outcomes. They gather data on five (5) prominent barriers to health care, which include income, cultural/language, education, insurance and housing by zip code. To determine the severity of these barriers in a given community, the CNI gathers other socioeconomic data, including what percentage of the population is elderly and living in poverty, uninsured, and unemployed. Using this data, a score is assigned to each barrier, with 1 representing less community need and 5 representing more community need. The scores are then aggregated and averaged for a final CNI score, with each barrier receiving equal weight in the average. A score of 1.0 indicates a zip code with the lowest socio-economic barriers, while a score of 5.0 represents a zip code with the most socio-economic barriers.

THE SPATIAL METHODOLOGY
This Community Health Needs Assessment is concerned with understanding the spatial and neighborhood dimensions of the social determinants of health. The goal is to assist GRUM in the formulation of a strategy to improve the neighborhoods in which GRUM residents live and to bolster access to health care and improve the quality of care among blacks and Latinos between the ages of 18 and 64, who are eligible for Medicaid, who have one or more chronic diseases, and/or who are at risk for acquiring multiple chronic diseases. To deepen our understanding of the role of neighborhoods in contributing to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos, we studied the communities in which 31 of the 61 churches in the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) were located.

The GRUM churches are scattered across Greater Buffalo, with 26 churches located in Buffalo City, four (4) in Lackawanna, an industrial suburb to south of the city, and one in the Highland Avenue neighborhood in Niagara
Falls, New York. Because the vast majority of GRUM churches (84%) are located in Buffalo City, it was the prime area of the spatial analysis.

A multi-scalar, socio-spatial methodology was used to examine the interplay among housing, neighborhoods, socioeconomic variables and health outcomes in the GRUM communities. The GRUM neighborhoods were examined at the census block, census tract, “GRUM cluster,” residential area, zip code, citywide and countywide scales. To facilitate this multi-scalar analysis, in Buffalo City the immediate area surrounding the GRUM church [census block] was considered the GRUM neighborhood, while the census tract was considered its community.

The churches were then grouped together in a series of twelve (12) clusters based on geographic proximity. These clusters varied in size and were comprised of between one and five churches. The churches were grouped together in clusters based on their proximity and location within the City to facilitate the analysis of those areas that faced similar neighborhood and socioeconomic challenges and to lay the foundation for them to work together to solve common problems.

We then divided Buffalo City into eight residential areas and located the GRUM churches (and clusters) within them, while the residential areas in which the Lackawanna and Niagara Falls GRUM churches are located were identified as well. Additionally, the GRUM communities were analyzed at the zip code level, and their experiences compared with residents at the Buffalo City and County scales.

A methodology was developed to use Google Earth and Google My Maps to conduct windshield surveys of all of the GRUM neighborhoods. A neighborhood conditions rating scale was developed to make assessments of these localities. Fieldwork and photo digital analysis was used to complement virtual windshield surveys. To gain insight into crime in these neighborhoods, Matthew Wrona, crime analyst for the Buffalo Police Department, Erie County Crime Analysis Unit, compiled data on the incident of violent crimes in Buffalo from 2010 to 2013.

Map 1: Participating GRUM Churches in Greater Buffalo

![Map of GRUM Churches in Greater Buffalo](source: UB Center for Urban Studies)
SURVEY AND FOCUS GROUPS

To better understand the everyday life experiences of GRUM community residents and to get their views on the Erie County health care system, structured questionnaires were completed by members of the GRUM churches and neighborhood residents, and focus groups were held with 229 residents. To facilitate the surveying and focus groups, the GRUM cluster groupings were used to organize and conduct eleven (11) public meetings over a four week period during August and September 2014. The residential location of each person participating in the public meetings was determined from meeting sign-in sheets, and then mapped. This allowed us to determine if participants lived in GRUM neighborhoods and communities and where in the city and county those participants resided.

THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, GBUAHN AND GRUM

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) is the catalytic force that triggered the emergence of GBUAHN and GRUM in their quest to bolster the delivery and quality of health care services in the African American and Latino communities. The ACA expanded health coverage for the poorest citizens by making it possible for states to provide Medicaid eligibility for individuals less than 65 years of age with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL). For the first time, states were able to provide coverage for low-income adults without children and be guaranteed coverage without the need for a waiver. GBUAHN was incorporated in 2009 as an Independent Physician Association (IPA), and became the lead Medicaid Health Home in Western New York as part of a New York State initiative to facilitate the delivery of health care services to Medicaid (and Medicaid eligible) patients. Medicaid Health Homes are dedicated to integrating and coordinating all primary, acute, behavioral health and long-term services, as well as preventative care and community-based supports, free of charge to Medicaid recipients with chronic health conditions residing in Buffalo and Western New York.

To deepen its linkages to the black and Latino communities and to bolster the delivery of health care services to these places, GBUAHN forged a partnership with the GRUM, a collaborative composed of 61 churches in Buffalo, Cheektowaga, Lackawanna and Niagara Falls. This unique partnership is based on the view that health inequalities can only be addressed by developing a comprehensive approach to health care services that is rooted in prevention and wellness and that is informed by the social determinants of health model. Building such a health care model required forging deep interactive relationships with the black and Latino communities. In this model, GBUAHN would function as a hub with interactive links to the GRUM churches and the communities they served.

This wellness and health care delivery strategy is premised on the belief that the GRUM churches administer services designed to meet the needs of both church members and neighborhood residents. In this approach, the church becomes a portal and point of entry into the health care system. The history of black and Latino churches supports their playing this role in the health care system. Historically, churches were positioned in a neighborhood setting, where most of their members lived, and these faith-based institutions have played a significant role in the development of their communities. Today, although most people live in one neighborhood and go to church in another, faith-based institutions are nonetheless rooted in a neighborhood setting, where they continue to develop relationships with community residents.

The GRUM churches represent a wide range of faith-based institutions. Some are very large churches, with full-time pastors and middle-class congregations and offer numerous programs and activities, while others are small
churches with part-time pastors and working class congregations, and then there are the churches between these two extremes. Some churches have a blend of members who live inside and outside the community, while others have members who mostly reside outside the neighborhood. Some of the churches have strong, interactive relationships with the neighborhoods in which they are located, while others have weaker links. Some of the churches are predominantly black, while others are Latino, and still others have an African immigrant population. However, regardless of their size or type, these churches are nevertheless deeply embedded in the black and Latino communities in Greater Buffalo and improving the delivery of health care services to their congregations and neighbors is the shared interest and social glue that holds them together.

FINDINGS

1. The Greater Buffalo Health Care System has failed to devise and implement a strategy to delivery effective health care services to the black and Latino communities. The two prime performance indicators supporting this finding are the premature death rates and infant mortality rates among blacks and Latinos.

2. There is a direct connection between housing and neighborhood conditions and the undesirable health outcomes found in the black and Latino neighborhoods.

3. These health inequalities are concentrated on the East Side residential area, where blacks are overrepresented. The five zip codes (14204, 14206, 14211, 14212 and 14215) where the Erie County Department of Health draws 73% of its clinical patients are located on the East Side.

Map 2: Zip Codes from which the Erie County Health Department receives 73% of its Patients

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
4. The remaking of the black East Side is turning the black community into an unstable place, which increases hardship and spawns health challenges. This destructive remaking process is made possible because blacks are building their community on land owned by other people. The problem is that blacks want to develop their community, but the property owners want to make profits on the land. Profit making and economic development is conflicting with community building.


Neighborhood stability matters for four interrelated reasons:

- Social supports and nurturing are critical for producing desirable economic, social, health, and educational outcomes.
- Social supports come from a network of relations with family, friends, acquaintances, and friends.
- Social capital combined with familiarity to construct a neighborhood infrastructure that facilitates trust, solidarity, reciprocity, collaboration, and problem-solving.
- Individuals embedded in stable settings are more likely to have the supports needed to grapple successfully with the stressors and social forces that produce risky behavior, outlaw culture, bad eating habits, overeating, smoking and drug use.
5. Substandard, unhealthy homes are a problem, which is complicated by three interactive issues.
   
   a. Most GRUM residents live in housing units that they do not own. So, they must rely on absentee property owners to upgrade and improve the quality of their homes, which are often drafty, have leaky roofs, asbestos, infestations and are at-risk for lead.

   b. Many GRUM homeowners have low-incomes and cannot afford to upgrade, modernize and upgrade the quality of their homes.

   c. It is difficult for homeowners and property owners to get financial assistance to make housing improvement in GRUM communities.

   
   
   Figure 2: Substandard Housing on the East Side

   
   
   Source: UB Center for Urban Studies

   
   
6. The health inequalities in Greater Buffalo cannot be eliminated without the radical transformation of the East Side community. The reason is that undesirable health outcomes are concentrated and interwoven into everyday life and culture in this residential area.

   
   
   Table 1: Demographic Profile of Select Erie County Zip Codes in Buffalo City

   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>NYS</th>
<th>Erie Co.</th>
<th>Buffalo</th>
<th>14204</th>
<th>14206</th>
<th>14211</th>
<th>14212</th>
<th>14215</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% in Labor Force</td>
<td>64.80%</td>
<td>63.80%</td>
<td>63.30%</td>
<td>59.90%</td>
<td>55.90%</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>55.00%</td>
<td>53.90%</td>
<td>59.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Income</td>
<td>27,951</td>
<td>31,796</td>
<td>27,366</td>
<td>20,072</td>
<td>17,251</td>
<td>19,494</td>
<td>14,686</td>
<td>15,014</td>
<td>17,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Families in Poverty</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
<td>26.10%</td>
<td>42.50%</td>
<td>16.10%</td>
<td>31.40%</td>
<td>27.60%</td>
<td>27.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Individual in Poverty</td>
<td>14.30%</td>
<td>14.50%</td>
<td>14.20%</td>
<td>29.90%</td>
<td>40.40%</td>
<td>20.90%</td>
<td>37.30%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
<td>28.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Black</td>
<td>13.60%</td>
<td>17.20%</td>
<td>14.50%</td>
<td>40.60%</td>
<td>76.90%</td>
<td>11.70%</td>
<td>79.10%</td>
<td>43.90%</td>
<td>82.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Latino\a</td>
<td>16.30%</td>
<td>17.60%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>10.50%</td>
<td>9.10%</td>
<td>4.60%</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% white</td>
<td>74.80%</td>
<td>67.90%</td>
<td>81.50%</td>
<td>52.80%</td>
<td>20.30%</td>
<td>86.70%</td>
<td>18.80%</td>
<td>51.30%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No High School Diploma</td>
<td>14.60%</td>
<td>19.00%</td>
<td>11.10%</td>
<td>19.00%</td>
<td>22.40%</td>
<td>19.40%</td>
<td>23.70%</td>
<td>27.20%</td>
<td>15.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Source: Erie County, New York, 2014-2017 Community Health Assessment, p. 8
7. The GRUM community is composed of three distinct geographies: East Side, West Side, and old industrial suburbs. Although each geography is unique, health inequalities is a neighborhood effect in each community, but it manifests itself differently in each locality. Therefore, each segment of the GRUM community must devise a strategy that focuses on its own particularities.

8. The Erie County uninsured and Medicaid populations are overrepresented in the five East Side zip codes and in the GRUM West Side and Lackawanna communities.

9. Health literacy is a serious problem in the GRUM Community. The problem is most dramatically expressed when the survey respondents were asked, “How adequate is your knowledge of the following chronic diseases?” The respondents were given a list of nine chronic diseases and asked to rate their knowledge of these diseases using a scale that ranged from 1 – 10, with 10 being very knowledgeable and 1 being not knowledgeable at all. In all GRUM communities, the respondents indicated that they were “very knowledgeable” about high blood pressure (hypertension). Concurrently, in all communities the respondents said they were “least knowledgeable” about kidney disease. The problem is that high blood pressure will trigger kidney disease if not properly managed. This leads to the conclusion that the perceived knowledge of the respondents about chronic diseases and other health issues is much lower than their actual knowledge of these issues.
10. GRUM communities are food swamps and deserts that contribute to undesirable health outcomes. This reality is summed up in the observation that it is easier to buy hamburgers and French fries in the GRUM communities that it is to purchase apple and oranges.

Figure 3: Poor Access to Food in the GRUM Communities

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
11. Most GRUM residents have poor diets and do not exercise regularly. Their neighborhoods are not very walkable, have few recreational centers and are food swamps/deserts and service deserts.

Figure 4: Walking in Buffalo During the Winter

Source: Henry Louis Taylor, Jr.

12. A tension exists between GRUM community residents and the Greater Buffalo Health System. At the core of this tension is the belief that medical personnel are not really concerned about their health.

a. Focus group respondents say that going to the doctor’s office or clinic is expensive, inconvenient and time consuming.

b. Some respondents say you go to the doctor’s office or clinic only when you are sick.

c. Others believe that doctors don’t really care about them. One respondent put this way, “They call it a medical practice, but they just want to practice on us.”

This viewpoint is problematic on three levels. First, it means that people are less likely to get the check-ups required for early diagnosis and treatment. Second, it means that they are more likely to end up with emergency room visits. When they are sick and need urgent care, the doctor’s appointment schedule, especially if he or she is a specialist, is not likely to accommodate them. So, they go to the emergency room. Third, because they delay treatment until symptoms appear and interrupt everyday life, their rates of hospitalization are likely to be much higher than others.
RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Greater Buffalo Urban Ministries (GRUM) churches should be turned into decentralized health care and community development centers, which are connected to the Greater Buffalo Accountable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN).

   GRUM consists of both large and small churches with an aggregate membership of over 8,000 parishioners and more than 53,000 community members. They blanket the East Side and are situated in and near every black and Latino community in Greater Buffalo. This, combined with their partnership with the GBUAHN, give them the potential to change significantly the health outcomes in the black and Latino communities.

   To realize their potential in practice it will be necessary to build the capacity of the various churches and provide them with the necessary infrastructure to carry out their mission.

   Within this framework, a strategic plan should be developed to guide the work of turning GRUM churches into decentralized centers of health care and community development. Regardless of the form they ultimately take, GRUM churches must develop hyper-aggressive neighborhood outreach if they are to be successful.

2. Formulate a strategy for GRUM churches to enroll their parishioners and community members into the Medicaid program and develop a program of health related activities that target this population.

3. The housing and neighborhood conditions in the black and Latinos neighborhoods must be radically transformed if their health outcomes are going to be dramatically improved. The issues outlined in this report cannot be successfully addressed without forging a strategy to turn the communities where blacks and Latinos live into healthy and vibrant neighborhoods in which to live, work, play and raise a family. Therefore, we call for the establishment of a Place-Oriented Development Strategy (PODS) for every GRUM church residential cluster in the Greater GRUM community.

   Many of the neighborhood-based health obstacles facing blacks and Latino communities, including low-quality housing, neighborhood blight, health literacy, food and housing insecurity, crime, educational attainment and others cannot be solved outside of the neighborhood context. Therefore, a multi-faceted institution, with the capacity to build trust with the neighborhood, is needed to carry out this health and community building mission. GRUM churches are ideally situated to carry out this task.

4. Develop a Neighborhood-based Health Literacy Program. Such a program should have the capacity to grapple with two dimensions of the health literacy issue. The first is to provide people with a range of materials and experiences on a sustained and regular basis that target chronic diseases, nutrition, physical fitness, mental health and other information to help them understand and navigate the health care system. The second is to provide them with the ability to translate their health knowledge into practical activities, and to make necessary behavioral changes. The goal of this effort is to change people’s behavior and make healthy living the dominant lifestyle in GRUM communities.

5. Develop Neighborhood-based programs and activities to address the food security and physical fitness health challenges. These types of programs can take a variety of forms and should be led by the GRUM churches. Most significantly, they should be made part of the larger POD strategy, which should be implemented in every GRUM community. This is added as a separate recommendation to emphasize its importance.
6. **Establish a system of formative and summative evaluations, which uses an outside evaluator to assess the GRUM program and monitor its progress.** These evaluations should be used as a tool to strengthen the program and plot a course for continued improvement and development. The evaluation process should be used to monitor program movement towards achieving critical benchmarks and to make sure that the program does not deviate from its original intent.

7. **GRUM needs to develop a larger collaborative with partners that help with various aspects of the health and neighborhood development strategy.**

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

1. Number and percentage of GRUM churches that are established as official GRUM health and community development centers.
   
   a. Although a larger and more complex evaluative system will be established for each of these GRUM health and community development centers, one element of that evaluative system will be the number and percent of parishioners and community residents enrolled by the center.
   
   b. The target population for the GRUM community will be those residents living in the immediate GRUM neighborhood and those living in the larger GRUM community, as defined by the census tract in which the church is located.
   
   c. Establishment of an electronic system, including the appropriate personnel, with the capacity to create and maintain a comprehensive system of data, which makes it possible to acquire and track multiple sources of data.
   
   d. Establishment of a GRUM system wide method of researching and establishing baseline data on a number of health and neighborhood variables needed to track and monitor the progress of GRUM in improving health outcomes in the GRUM community.

2. Number and percentage of GRUM parishioners and community residents, between 18 and 64 years of age, who have at least one chronic disease and that are at-risk for acquiring another, that are enrolled in Medicaid.

3. Number and percentage of GRUM Medicaid enrollees that are serviced by the GBUAHN Health Home.

4. Reduction in the number and percent of preventable hospitalizations among GRUM parishioners and community residents enrolled in the Medicaid program:
   
   a. Reduction in the number and percent of preventable hospitalization and deaths caused by chronic diseases among GRUM parishioners and community residents enrolled in the Medicaid program.
   
   b. Reduction in the number and percent of premature deaths among GRUM parishioners and community residents.

5. The number and percent of GRUM Medicaid members that live at the same address over five to 10 years after enrolling in the program.
6. The number and percentage of GRUM Medicaid enrollees whose homes are made healthier, including the conditions of the streets and neighborhoods where they live.

7. The number and percentages of locations within GRUM neighborhoods where healthy food choices are made available.
   a. The estimated dollar value of healthy foods sold at these locations.
   b. The number and percent of healthy food pantries that are established at GRUM churches.
   c. The number and percent of people who are serviced at these establishments.
   d. The number and percent of healthy cooking classes that are established at GRUM churches.
   e. The number and percent of people who attend these classes.

8. The number of fitness programs that are established by GRUM churches and/or their partners, along with the number and percentage of GRUM Medicaid enrollees that attend them.
PART 1: INTRODUCTION

WHAT WENT INTO THIS STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Collaborating Churches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Public Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Structured Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Focus Group Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Common Goal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERVIEW

The quest for wellness and good health is the top challenge facing blacks, Latinos and other low-income groups living in precarious neighborhoods in Greater Buffalo. If people are in poor health they will not be able to meet successfully the challenge of making ends meet and building a better life for themselves and their families. The purpose of this Community Health Needs Assessment is to identify the unmet health challenges of the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) community in Erie and Niagara Counties. It will outline a strategy for addressing these needs and develop a set of health indicators and metrics to monitor progress in meeting those needs. This assessment, then, will provide GRUM and its prime partner, the Greater Buffalo United Affordable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN) with the framework needed to forge an implementation strategy to address the unmet health needs of the GRUM community.

Operating within this framework, GRUM’s top priority is to bolster access to health care and improve the quality of that care among blacks and Latinos between the ages of 18 and 64. Specifically it will target individuals who are 1) eligible for Medicaid, 2) have one or more chronic diseases, and 3) are at-risk of acquiring multiple chronic disease. GRUM’s main concern is to enroll these individuals into the Medicaid program, bolster their access to the health care system and improve the quality of their care in that system. GRUM will use success in this phase of its strategic planning process as a foundation upon which to launch other programs and activities, including neighborhood development, to improve wellness and health care among blacks and Latinos.

This Community Health Needs Assessment will use a social determinants of health model to examine the role played by socioeconomic and neighborhood factors in producing health inequalities in Erie County and the City of Buffalo. It will be informed by the view that these social determinants of health are interwoven within everyday life and culture in the black and Latino communities, and therefore, must be understood within a neighborhood context.
DATA AND METHODS

A variety of data were used in this assessment of community health needs, including a review of reports and documents on health and health indicators in Erie County. To gain insight into the prevalence of chronic disease, rates of hospitalization and mortality rates among Erie County residents, and to deepen the understanding of health inequalities in Greater Buffalo, a variety of databases were consulted. These reports included the County Health Rankings by the Robert Wood Johnson and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, the New York State Department of Health’s Erie County Health Rankings by Race and Ethnicity, the New York State Erie County Indicators for Tracking Public Health Priority Areas, and the Preventive Quality Indicators for New York State. In addition, to gain insight into the Medicaid populations and health data on Erie County, the Western and Central New York Safety Net (wcnysafteynet.org) database was utilized.

To gain insight into the population demographics, the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey, 2008-2012 was consulted through Social Explorer. The American FactFinder 2 search engine on the U.S. Census Bureau website was used to compliment the Social Explorer data when necessary. Additionally, the U.S. Census Bureau Zip Code Data Base was used to supplement demographic data on the GRUM population. To better understand the income dynamics impacting the GRUM community, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), FY 2014 Income Limits Documentation System, Erie County, New York, and the Penn State Living Wage Calculator, “Poverty in American Living Wage Calculator” were consulted.1 Data on neighborhood conditions were gathered from a variety of sources. In order to understand the walkability of neighborhoods, we used the walkscore database and neighborhoodscout.com. In both instances, the address of a particular GRUM church was used as the neighborhood focal point. We also used the Dignity Health Community Needs Index (CNI).

The CNI accounts for the underlying economic and structural barriers that affect overall health outcomes. They gather data on five prominent barriers to health care, which include income, cultural/language, education, insurance and housing by zip code. To determine the severity of these barriers in a given community, the CNI gathers other socioeconomic data, including what percentage of the population is elderly and living in poverty, uninsured, and unemployed. Using this data, a score is assigned to each barrier, with 1 representing less community need and 5 representing more community need. The scores are then aggregated and averaged for a final CNI score, with each barrier receiving equal weight in the average. A score of 1.0 indicates a zip code with the lowest socio-economic barriers, while a score of 5.0 represents a zip code with the most socio-economic barriers.2

---

2 Dignityhealth.org
TO DEEPEN OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE ROLE OF NEIGHBORHOODS IN CONTRIBUTING TO UNDESIRABLE HEALTH OUTCOMES AMONG BLACKS AND LATINOS, WE STUDIED THE COMMUNITIES IN WHICH 31 OF THE 61 CHURCHES IN THE GREATER BUFFALO UNITED MINISTRIES (GRUM) WERE LOCATED.

THE SPATIAL METHODOLOGY
This Community Health Needs Assessment is concerned with understanding the spatial and neighborhood dimensions of the social determinants of health. The goal is to assist GRUM in the formulation of a strategy to improve the neighborhoods in which GRUM residents live and to bolster access to health care and improve the quality of care among blacks and Latinos between the ages of 18 and 64, who are eligible for Medicaid, who have one or more chronic diseases, and/or who are at risk for acquiring multiple chronic diseases. To deepen our understanding of the role of neighborhoods in contributing to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos, we studied the communities in which 31 of the 61 churches in the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) were located (Map 1).

Map 1: Participating GRUM Churches in Greater Buffalo

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
The GRUM churches are scattered across Greater Buffalo, with 26 churches located in Buffalo City, four (4) in Lackawanna, an industrial suburb to south of the city, and one in the Highland Avenue neighborhood in Niagara Falls, New York. Because the vast majority of GRUM churches (84%) are located in Buffalo City, it was the prime site of the spatial analysis (Map 2).

Map 2: Participating GRUM Churches in Buffalo City

A multi-scalar, socio-spatial methodology was used to examine the interplay among housing, neighborhoods, socioeconomic variables and health outcomes in the GRUM communities. The GRUM neighborhoods were examined at the census block, census tract, “GRUM cluster,” residential area, zip code, citywide and countywide scales. To facilitate this multi-scalar analysis, in Buffalo City the immediate area surrounding the GRUM church [census block] was considered the GRUM neighborhood, while the census tract was considered its community.
The churches were then grouped together in a series of twelve (12) clusters based on geographic proximity. These clusters varied in size and were comprised of between one and five churches (Table 1). The churches were grouped together in clusters based on their proximity and location within the City, not only to facilitate the analysis of those areas that faced similar neighborhood and socioeconomic challenges, but also to lay the foundation for them to work together to solve common problems (Map 3).

Table 1: The Buffalo GRUM Churches Organized by Cluster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th>Cluster 2</th>
<th>Cluster 3</th>
<th>Cluster 4</th>
<th>Cluster 5</th>
<th>Cluster 6</th>
<th>Cluster 7</th>
<th>Cluster 8</th>
<th>Cluster 9</th>
<th>Cluster 10</th>
<th>Cluster 11</th>
<th>Cluster 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilgrim Baptist Church</td>
<td>Macedonia Baptist Church</td>
<td>Mount Olive Baptist Church</td>
<td>White Rock Baptist Church</td>
<td>Greater Works Baptist Church</td>
<td>New Life Restoration Center</td>
<td>Jordan River Baptist Church</td>
<td>Asamblea Iglesias de Cristianas</td>
<td>Revival Church of Buffalo</td>
<td>Thankful missionary Baptist church</td>
<td>Ebenezer Baptist Church, Lackawanna</td>
<td>Mount Zion Baptist Church, Niagara Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater St. Matthews Baptist Church</td>
<td>First Centennial Baptist Church</td>
<td>New Cedar Grove Life Changing Church</td>
<td>Second Chance Ministries</td>
<td>Lincoln Memorial United Methodist Church</td>
<td>Nazareth Baptist Church</td>
<td>Elim Christian Fellowship</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Love Christian Fellowship</td>
<td>First Baptist Church, Lackawanna</td>
<td>First Baptist Church, Lackawanna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miracle Missions Baptist Church</td>
<td>St. John Baptist Church</td>
<td>Hopewell Baptist Church</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bethesda World Harvest International Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mount Olive Baptist Church, Lackawanna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agape Fellowship Baptist Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midtown Bible Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
We then divided Buffalo City into eight (8) residential areas and located the GRUM churches (and clusters) within them, while the residential areas in which the Lackawanna and Niagara Falls GRUM churches are located were identified as well (Map 4). Additionally, the GRUM communities were analyzed at the zip code level, and their experiences compared with residents at the Buffalo City and County scales.
A methodology was developed to use Google Earth and Google My Maps to conduct windshield surveys of all of the GRUM neighborhoods. A neighborhood conditions rating scale was developed to make assessments of these localities. Fieldwork and photo digital analysis was used to complement virtual windshield surveys. To gain insight into crime in these neighborhoods, Matthew Wrona, crime analyst for the Buffalo Police Department, Erie County Crime Analysis Unit, compiled data on the incident of violent crimes in Buffalo from 2010 to 2013.
To better understand the everyday life experiences of GRUM community residents and to get their views on the Erie County health care system, structured questionnaires were completed by members of the GRUM churches and neighborhood residents, and focus groups were held with 229 residents. To facilitate the surveying and focus groups, the GRUM cluster groupings were used to organize and conduct eleven (11) public meetings over a four (4) week period during August and September 2014. The residential location of each person participating in the public meetings was determined from meeting sign-in sheets, and then mapped. This allowed us to determine if participants lived in GRUM neighborhoods and communities as well as where in the city and county those participants lived.

THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, GRUM AND GBUAHN

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) is the catalytic force that triggered the emergence of GBUAHN and GRUM in their quest to bolster the delivery and quality of health care services in the black and Latino communities. The ACA expanded health coverage for the poorest citizens by making it possible for states to provide Medicaid eligibility for individuals less than 65 years of age with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL). For the first time, states were able to provide coverage for low-income adults without children and be guaranteed coverage without the need for a waiver. GBUAHN was incorporated in 2009 as an Independent Physician Association (IPA), and became the lead Medicaid Health Home in Western New York as part of a New York State initiative to facilitate the delivery of health care services to Medicaid (and Medicaid eligible) patients. Medicaid Health Homes are dedicated to integrating and coordinating all primary, acute, behavioral health and long-term services, as well as preventative care and community-based supports, free of charge to Medicaid recipients with chronic health conditions residing in Buffalo and Western New York.

To deepen its linkages in the black and Latino communities and to bolster the delivery of health care services to these places, GRUM forged a partnership with the GBUAHN, a collaborative composed of 61 churches in Buffalo, Cheektowaga, Lackawanna and Niagara Falls. This unique partnership is based on the view that health inequalities can only be addressed by developing a comprehensive approach to health care services that is rooted in prevention and wellness and that is informed by the social determinants of health model. Building such a health care model required forging deep interactive relationships with the black and Latino communities. In this model, GBUAHN would function as a hub with interactive links to the GRUM churches and the communities they served (Figure 1).
This wellness and health care delivery strategy is premised on the belief that the GRUM churches administer services designed to meet the needs of both church members and neighborhood residents. In this approach, the church becomes a portal and point of entry into the health care system. The history of black and Latino churches supports their playing this role in the health care system. Historically, churches were positioned in a neighborhood setting, where most of their members lived, and these faith-based institutions have played a significant role in the development of their communities.3 Today, although most people live in one neighborhood and go to church in another, faith-based institutions are nonetheless rooted in a neighborhood setting, where they continue to develop relationships with community residents.

The GRUM churches represent a wide range of faith-based institutions. Some are very large churches, with full-time pastors and middle-class congregations and offer numerous programs and activities, while others are small churches with part-time pastors and working-class congregations, and then there are the churches between these two extremes. Some churches have a blend of members who live inside and outside the community, while others have members who mostly reside outside the neighborhood. Some of the churches have strong, interactive relationships with the neighborhoods in which they are located, while others have weaker links. Some of the churches are

---

predominantly black, while others are Latino, and still others have an African immigrant population. However, regardless of their size or type, these churches are nevertheless deeply embedded in the black and Latino communities in Greater Buffalo and improving the delivery of health care services to their congregations and neighbors is the shared interest and social glue that holds them together.
ERIE COUNTY POPULATION DISTRIBUTION

Although the GRUM churches have a presence in Erie and Niagara Counties (Map 1), the focal point of this Community Health Needs Assessment will be on the black and Latino experience in Buffalo and Erie County. The start point in understanding their health status is to understand how the population is distributed across Erie County (Map 5). In the United States, there is a hierarchical, spatial ordering of neighborhoods, which forms a socioeconomic grid that dictates where a person lives and the health status of the place in which he/she resides.

Map 5: Erie County and Niagara County, New York

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
Erie County and the central city of Buffalo are shrinking. Between 2000 and 2013, the population of Erie County declined from 950,265 to 919,866, a drop of about 3.2%. Concurrently, 34,000 people left Buffalo City as the population dipped from 292,648 to 258,959, a 12% decline. This age of shrinking, after four decades of continuous population loss, could be ending. Between 2010 and 2013, demographic data suggest that the population of Erie County may be stabilizing. Between 2010 and 2013, the population of the county increased by 802 residents, a slight increase of 0.09%. Buffalo City’s population, however, has continued to decline. Between 2010 and 2013, it dropped by 2,360 residents, a decrease of about 0.91%.

In Erie County, the interplay between income and location preference form a sieve which sorts and sifts people across different neighborhoods in the county, and this income-based process leads to the uneven distribution of the population across the suburbs and central city by race and ethnicity (Table 2). For example, in the county, whites constitute 81% of the population, but make-up only 18% of the residents of Buffalo City (Map 6). Concurrently, blacks only constitute 14% of the county population, but they comprise 78% of the residents of Buffalo City. About 60% of Erie County Latinos live in the City of Buffalo. Bi-racial residents (people reporting two or more races) on the other hand, are about evenly distributed between the central city and suburbs.

Table 2: the Distribution of Erie County Population by Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Erie County Population by Race</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Buffalo</th>
<th>% of City Population</th>
<th>City as % of County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Population 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>919,866</td>
<td>258,959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>80.70%</td>
<td>742,332</td>
<td>130,515</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>13.90%</td>
<td>127,861</td>
<td>99,958</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic alone</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>45,073</td>
<td>27,190</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>2.90%</td>
<td>26,676</td>
<td>8,027</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
<td>16,558</td>
<td>8,028</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census, American Fact finder, 2013

---

4 U.S. Census Bureau, American Fact Finder
BUFFALO CITY POPULATION DISTRIBUTION

Blacks and Latinos, although they are concentrated in the central city, are not evenly distributed across Buffalo City. While blacks are dispersed across the city, they are nevertheless concentrated on the East Side. Likewise, although whites live in every section of Buffalo, they are overrepresented on the West Side, Black Rock and in South Buffalo (Map 7). The Latino population is dispersed across the city, but they are also concentrated on the on the West Side, Black Rock and in South Buffalo. However, unlike blacks and whites, the Latinos are clustered, but do not dominate any residential space, sharing residential space with other groups everywhere in Buffalo. Equally important, they built their neighborhoods in the old West Side, Black Rock and South Buffalo industrial zones, localities that are near the New York State Thruway and the Peace Bridge, which connects Buffalo to Canada (Map 8). In this residential area, they
share space with blacks and a growing immigrant population, which consists of about 29 ethnicities that speak some 31 languages and dialects. Similarly, the black community built its neighborhoods in the city’s old industrial zones. The result is that both blacks and Latinos are building their communities on some of the most undesirable residential lands in Greater Buffalo. This means that environmental issues and black and Latino neighborhood development are conjoined.

Map 7: Black and White Population Distribution in Buffalo City, 2006

There are also four (4) GRUM churches in Lackawanna, New York, a suburb that borders Buffalo to the south, and one GRUM church in the Highland neighborhood in Niagara Falls, New York, which is situated in Niagara County. These two predominately black communities are positioned in similar neighborhood settings, even though they are located in different parts of Greater Buffalo. Both neighborhoods are working class communities situated in old industrial settings. Lackawanna was founded by the Lackawanna Iron and Steel Company in 1903, while the Highland Park neighborhood is located in the heart of Niagara Falls’ old industrial belt. So, both communities are brownfield neighborhoods situated near major transportation thoroughfares.
Map 8: Distribution of Latinos in Buffalo and Map of the Buffalo Industrial Zones (1937)

Source: City of Buffalo and Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority (1932)

THE COUNTY HEALTH RANKINGS
The social geography described above is interwoven with neighborhood conditions and socioeconomic variables that produce undesirable health outcomes, chronic diseases, and negatively impact life expectancy. These neighborhood conditions and socioeconomic factors are embedded in the health factors that inform the social determinants of health. To deepen our understanding of the health dimensions of this social geography and the interplay among the location of people in geographic space, socioeconomic factors and health outcomes, we need to examine the Erie County Health Rankings.

About the Data
These local health rankings are part of the larger County Health Rankings program administered by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. The annual rankings are based on a social determinates of health model, and they are designed to measure the interplay between health factors and health outcomes, with the goal of showing how health is influenced by where we live, learn, work and play (Figure 2). In the county ranking process, a set of health factors which significantly impact health outcomes are identified. The health factors consist

of the physical environment (10%), social and economic factors (40%), clinical care and access (20%) and health behavior (30%). Each of these factors is then given a weight according to their perceived impact on health outcomes. There are two points worth noting here. The first is that clinical factors are only given only a 20% weight in the ranking system, while socioeconomic factors and behavior are given a combined 70% weight in the health factors rankings.

The two (2) prime health outcomes targeted in the county rankings are quality of life, which focuses on health status, poor physical health days, poor mental health days and low-birth weight. The second, length of life, measures premature death, which refers to any death that occurs before age 75. In the Health Outcomes ratings, length of life (50%) and quality of life (50%) are given equal weight. Within this framework, all of the counties in a given state are rank-ordered and those with the highest ranking are considered the healthiest.

Figure 2: The Social Determination Model
The Rankings
Based on this ranking system, Erie County is one of the unhealthiest places in New York State. Under the Health Outcome category, it ranked 53rd out of 62 counties in New York State. Moreover, when you drill down, the county ranking worsens. Erie County ranked 58th in length of life and 44th in quality of life. In concrete terms, this means that Erie County residents are not only dying before they should, but also, the quality of their life before death is poor. The county did fare better in health factors. Here, it ranked 23rd in New York State; but again, when you drill down, the ranking worsens. The county ranked high in health factors because it scored high on clinical care. The county ranked 11th in this category. This ranking is ironic. The health outcomes in Erie County are deplorable, yet its clinical care and access are rated high in the Erie County rankings. The larger issue, however, is that the other three rankings in the health factors area were low: physical environment (51st), social and economic factors (30th), and health behavior (33rd).

Why it Matters
There are two reasons why these health rankings matters. The first is that blacks and Latinos live in a very unhealthy county. The second is that health inequalities between the central city and suburbs form the engine that drives the County’s low health rankings. This perspective is supported by the Erie County Health Indicators by race and ethnicity. The New York State Department of Health has identified a series of health indicators by race and ethnicity for each county in the State for 2009-2011.6 These health indicators include data on socio-demographics, general health, birth-related, injury related, respiratory disease, heart disease and stroke, diabetes, cancer, substance abuse and mental health by race and ethnicity, and they are mostly concerned with hospitalization and mortality rates (Table 3). Although aggregated at the county level, given Greater Buffalo’s racial distribution pattern, the data is mostly a narrative about racially-based health inequalities between the central city and suburbs.

---

6 Erie County Health Indicators by Race and Ethnicity, 2009-2011. Online.
Three of the variables on this list provide a preface to the narrative on black and Latino health in Erie County. The first is the tandem of infant mortality and low-birth weight. Dr. John Ruffin, the founding director of the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities National Institutes of Health has stated that infant mortality and low-birth weight tells the health story of a people. He states that when these figures are high, the health indicators at other stages in the lifecycle are also going to be poor.7 The Erie County Health Indicators by Race and Ethnicity supports Ruffin’s thesis. In Erie County, the black infant mortality rate is 171% greater than the white infant mortality rate, and the Latino infant mortality rate is 125% greater than the white infant mortality rate, while the percentage of black low birth weight babies is 94% higher than whites, and the Latino percentage of low birth weight babies is 38% greater than whites. Given this backdrop, it is not surprising that blacks die prematurely at a rate that 73% greater than whites, while Latinos die at a rate that is 84% greater than whites.

---


### Table 3: Select Health Indicators for Erie County by Race and Ethnicity 2009 – 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Issue</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Blacks</th>
<th>Asian/PI</th>
<th>Latino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Premature Death</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Low Birth Weight Births (&lt; 2.5 Kg)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality per 1,000 Live Births</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the Heart Mortality per 100,000, Age-adjusted</td>
<td>186.8</td>
<td>238.8</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke) Mortality per 100,000*</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Heart Disease Mortality per 100,000*</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mortality per 100,000*</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Mortality per 100,000, Age-adjusted (2008-2010)</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure Hospitalizations per 10,000*</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Hospitalizations per 10,000 (Any Dx ICD9 250)*</td>
<td>156.1</td>
<td>365.6</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>274.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma Hospitalizations per 10,000, Age-adjusted</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Hospitalizations per 10,000*</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-related Hospitalizations per 10,000, Age-adjusted</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer incidence per 100,000, Age-adjusted (2008-2010)</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>27.1**</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age Adjusted

** Fewer than 10 events in the numerator. The rate is unstable/PI

= Pacific Islander

Source: New York State Department of Health, Erie County Health Indicators by Race and Ethnicity 2009 -2011, Online.

---

BLACKS DIE PREMATURELY AT A RATE THAT 73% GREATER THAN WHITES, WHILE LATINOS DIE AT A RATE THAT IS 84% GREATER THAN WHITES IN ERIE COUNTY.
The data show that the coronary heart mortality rate among blacks is 25% greater than whites, while their diabetes mortality rate is 113% greater. The disparities in terms of hospitalization are also significant. Blacks have an asthma hospitalization rate that is 323% higher than whites and a diabetes hospitalization rate is 134% higher. A similar picture exists among Latinos. Their Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease hospitalization rate is 92% greater than whites, while their diabetes hospitalization rate is 76% greater, and the asthma hospitalization rate is 265% greater. Most of these higher than expected hospitalization rates could have been prevented if blacks and Latinos were treated earlier and/or if their communities had very aggressive outpatient programs.

NEIGHBORHOODS AND THE SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

These findings show that the relationship among race, ethnicity and the social determinants of health are interwoven with place. Low-incomes cause most blacks and Latinos to live in the City of Buffalo. Consequently, these County Health Indicators are a tale of health inequalities between the central city and suburbs. This perspective is supported by data from the Erie County Department of Health. There are five zip codes (14204, 14206, 14211, 14212, and 14215) from which the Erie County Department of Health draws 73% of its clinic patients. All five zip codes are located in Buffalo City (Table 4).

There are significant socioeconomic inequalities between these five zip codes and the Erie County suburbs. The median household and per capita incomes are much lower here than in the suburbs. Also, the labor force participation rates and educational attainment levels are lower, while the poverty level is much higher. A comparison of the five Buffalo zip codes also reveals a geographically-based class division within Buffalo City. They are also the poorest spatial units in Buffalo City. For example, the poverty rate is much higher than the citywide poverty rate in four of the five zip codes, while the median household income is lower than the citywide median in 3 of the 5 zip codes, and the labor force participation rate is lower than the citywide rate in 4 of the 5 census tracts.
The existence of a relationship between these socioeconomic factors and health outcomes is supported by an examination of data from the Erie County Preventive Quality Indicators (PQI), which demonstrate that health outcomes are significantly lower in the five zip codes in question than those in the county as a whole. For example, the PQI data for zip code 14215 shows that the hospital admissions are 150% of what would be normally expected in that zip code. Additionally, there is a significant racial disparity with African Americans’ hospital admissions at 210% of what was expected for this zip code, whereas the white population experienced a hospital admissions rate of only 55% of what was normally expected. The findings are very similar in zip codes 14206, 14211, and 14212.

There is more to this story. These zip codes are not randomly located in Erie County, but they are situated on the East Side of Buffalo, where the African American population is concentrated. This means the story of health problems and health inequalities in Erie County is largely a story of the African American and Latino populations (Map 9).
Map 9: Zip Codes from which the Erie County Health Department receives 73% of its Patients

These zip codes are not randomly located in Erie County, but they are situated on the East Side of Buffalo, where the African American population is concentrated.

To eliminate health inequalities and dramatically improve health outcomes among blacks and Latinos, we must radically transform the neighborhoods in which they live.

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
Why it Matters
These zip code boundaries obscure the reality that within them are a series of discrete neighborhoods, which are linked together and morphed into the largely black East Side community. This use of zip codes is a non-spatial way of thinking about black neighborhoods. Black and Latino neighborhoods are geographically-based communities, where socioeconomic variables are deeply embedded in everyday life and culture. For this reason, the social determinants of health cannot be understood fully outside of the neighborhood context. This is particularly important in the United States because the urban housing market continuously steers blacks and Latinos into predominantly low-income neighborhoods where conditions are precarious and distressed.

The urban land-rent grid will place blacks and Latinos in the most undesirable locations, wherever they live in the urban metropolis. For this reason, scholars Robert J. Sampson and Patrick Sharkey say that blacks and Latinos are stuck in place. They move frequently, but only into neighborhoods that are precarious and distressed places. Simply put, blacks and Latinos are not going to move to neighborhoods of opportunity, since the land-rent structure will erect barriers to keep this from happening. Therefore, to eliminate health inequalities and dramatically improve health outcomes among blacks and Latinos, we must radically transform the neighborhoods in which they live. The start point in this process is to deepen our understanding of how life in precarious and distressed neighborhoods contributes to undesirable health outcomes.

Place and the Medicaid Population
The prime focus of the GRUM initiative are those individuals who are between ages 18 and 64 years, who are eligible for Medicaid and who have multiple chronic diseases, or who have one chronic disease, but are at-risk for acquiring another. In the United States, there is a class and racial dimension to the health inequalities problem. Because of the way the health care system in the United States operates, low-income groups and people of color are most likely to experience health problems and have less access to the health care system than higher income groups and whites.

In Erie County, the lowest income groups are going to be overrepresented in Buffalo City, so it is here that we will find the highest concentration of residents who are either enrolled in Medicaid or who are eligible for Medicaid (Maps 10 and 11). Also, given the overrepresentation of chronic diseases, morbidity, mortality and preventable hospitalizations found in Buffalo City, along with the concentration of uninsured individuals, there will be both a large Medicaid population, and Medicaid eligible population with multiple chronic diseases and/or who have one chronic disease, but who are at-risk for acquiring another.
Map 10: Income Distribution in Erie County

Map 11: Percentage of Medicaid Recipients in Erie County

---

8 This map is found in Catholic Health, Community Health Needs Assessment and Community Service Plan, 2013 Assessment, p. 13.

The Greater Buffalo Health Care System

In Erie County, clinical care, which includes access and quality of care, is ranked 11th in New York State. The Greater Buffalo health care network is anchored by several large hospital systems, including Kaleida Health, the Catholic Health System, the Erie County Medical Center Corporation, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, the Veterans Administration (VA) Hospital Care System and the Erie County Department of Health. Within this system, there are a number of hospitals, including Buffalo General Medical Center, Gates Vascular Institute, DeGraff Memorial Hospital, Millard Fillmore Suburban Hospital, Women & Children’s Hospital of Buffalo, Kenmore Mercy Hospital, Mercy Hospital, Sisters of Charity Hospital, Sister of Charity Hospital—St. Joseph Campus, the VA Hospital, and Erie County Medical Center.

The Greater Buffalo health care system also includes long-term care facilities, dozens of outpatient clinics, urgent care facilities, and more than a 1,000 affiliated physicians. Added to this mix are the health insurance associations, along with numerous organizations and institutes, such as the Wellness Center, the P2 Collaborative, and social service providers that are devoted to improved health care in Greater Buffalo. Within this framework, there are dozens of organizations that provide health care and social services to Medicaid members. Additionally, the region’s largest economic sectors are health care and education, with their growth being driven by the Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus (BNMC) and the University at Buffalo.

Even though Erie County has one of the top health care systems in New York State, health outcomes for blacks and Latinos are very undesirable. Therefore, to improve health outcomes and eliminate health inequalities among this population, it will be necessary to gain deeper insights into the neighborhood and socioeconomic forces that erect barriers to wellness and access to health care in Buffalo and Erie County. Then, on the basis of this insight, we will be able to design a system that will remove the obstacles, thereby increasing wellness and the quality of health care among blacks and Latinos. This is the pathway to desirable health outcomes for blacks and Latinos in Erie County.

---

10 John Snow, Inc. Western New York Primary Care Assessment, Health Foundation for Western and Central New York, January 2014.
11 Salient Medicaid Data Version 6.4 online.
12 Forbes, Forbes.com
PART 3: THE GREATER BUFFALO GRUM COMMUNITY

THE CHURCH: ANCHOR OF THE GRUM COMMUNITY

The GRUM churches anchor the Greater Buffalo GRUM community, and they will form the critical connector between the black and Latino communities and the Greater Buffalo United Accountable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN) Health Home. Led by a group of black and Latino ministers, the GRUM churches seek to play a leading role in building a healthcare pipeline that will connect thousands of blacks and Latinos to the GBUAHN Health Home, thereby taking a giant step forward in the elimination of health inequalities in Greater Buffalo (Figure 3). The unique partnership between GRUM and GBUAHN is based on the view that health inequalities can only be addressed by using a social determinants of health model, by developing a comprehensive approach to health care services rooted in prevention and wellness, and by radically transforming black and Latino neighborhoods. Developing such an approach to health care requires forging deep interactive relationships with the black and Latino communities, and the black and Latino church is the social institution most strategically located to realize this practice.

This approach to prevention and wellness is premised on the belief that GRUM churches in partnership with GBUAHN have the capacity to design and implement programs that can meet the health needs of church members and community residents and that the GRUM churches can unite with others to radically rebuild and recreate their communities. In this way, the GRUM churches will become a portal for blacks and Latinos to enter the Greater Buffalo health care system.
Thirty-two GRUM churches participated in the church survey. However, only 23 (72%) of the churches surveyed in the analysis of GRUM churches participated in both the church survey and the Community Health Needs Assessment and Focus Groups. Moreover, some of the census tracts in which these churches are located were not included in the demographic analysis, which was based on census tract data. However, seven of the nine GRUM non-clustered churches, which participated only in the church survey, are located on Buffalo’s East Side and are situated near the East Side GRUM clusters (Map 12). The other two GRUM non-clustered churches are situated in Buffalo City neighborhoods, which are similar to the West Side GRUM neighborhoods. Thus, the inclusion of these nine churches will not obscure the overall analysis.

Map 12: GRUM Non-Clustered Churches

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies

The respondents for 24 of the 32 surveys were the pastor, while eight surveys were completed by the associate pastor, church administrators and church officials knowledgeable of the church operations and membership.
Church Membership
The aggregate membership of GRUM churches is 8,852, with the church congregations ranging widely in terms of size. The largest GRUM church has 3,000 members, while the smallest has only about 10 members. The average GRUM church has about 275 members with a median of 150 church members. The GRUM churches are mostly African American churches. In the 28 churches reporting the racial make-up of their parishioners, they indicated that 87% of the membership was African American, with the remainder being Latinos and blacks from Africa and the Caribbean. All of the Latinos were from Puerto Rico. According to the GRUM churches, less than one percent of their membership was white. Thus, the GRUM church members are largely African American and Puerto Rican, with a handful of African and Caribbean blacks.

Although the GRUM churches are rooted in their communities, most of the parishioners did not live in the GRUM neighborhood where the faith-based institution is located. According to the surveys, 30% of the church parishioners live in the GRUM neighborhood, while 62% did not. This is not surprising. Today, because of the high levels of “contextual” residential mobility among people of color, most folks do not attend neighborhood churches. At the same time, most (74%) of those parishioners living outside their church’s neighborhood nevertheless resided in Buffalo City.

---

14 In this study, the “neighborhood” is defined as an area comprising about four to five square blocks in which the church is located.

15 We asked the informants to estimate the percentage of their members who lived inside and outside the neighborhood. The estimates did not always amount to 100 percent.
GRUM Church Relationship with the Neighborhood

Most church members live outside of the GRUM neighborhood, which helps to explain the “weak” connections that many GRUM churches have with the neighborhoods in which they are located. Using a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being poor, we asked church leaders to rate the relationship of their church with residents of the immediate neighborhood. About 18 or 58% of the churches said their relationships with their immediate neighborhood ranged from “tolerable” [not good and not bad] to poor, while 23% said they had “good” relations with their neighborhood and 19% said they had “very good” relations with their neighborhoods.
Neighborhood Conditions

We then asked the church leader and/or administrator to rate their neighborhoods on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor. Seventy-two percent (N=23/32) of the churches said that crime was a problem in their neighborhoods, with 34% (N= 11/32) of the churches saying that it was very problematic. In terms of housing, 77% (N=23/31) of the churches said that housing in their neighborhood ranged from “tolerable” to very poor. Only three churches said housing in their neighborhood was very good.

Next, using the same 1-5 rating scale, the churches were asked to rate their neighborhood’s physical environment. About 81% said the church neighborhood environment ranged from “tolerable” to very poor, with 42% saying it was very poor.

The same rating scale was used to evaluate the neighborhood’s access to services [parks, groceries, schools, and supportive services]. Seventy-two percent (N=27/32) said the neighborhood’s access to services ranged from “tolerable to very poor.” Lastly, the churches were asked to evaluate the overall conditions of life in their neighborhood. Eighty-four percent (N=27/32) believed that their neighborhood conditions ranged from “tolerable” to “very poor.”

Figure 6: Housing and Neighborhood Conditions in GRUM Community

Source: Google Earth, 2012

16 There were 31 responses to this question.
GRUM Church Health and Supportive Service Programs
The churches were asked about the programs they offered to parishioners and neighborhood residents. They offered a wide range of health and health related programs that ranged across health education, gardening, exercise, food, counseling and the provision of clothes, along with programs in computer literacy and basic education. The most widely held programs were food related, including gardening programs. In this section, we did not ask them to evaluate the quality of the program, nor did we ask to give estimates of the number of participants in each program. In this analysis, we were only concerned with the types of programs being offered.

Why it Matters
The GRUM churches are the lead institution in the quest to build an interactive pipeline between the black and Latino communities and the GBUAHN Health Home. Thus, knowing their capacity, along with the kind and types of churches that comprise GRUM, as well as the church leadership’s view of, and relationship with, their host communities is critical to planning a program of action to connect the community to the GBUAHN Health Home. It is also essential to learn as much as possible about the GRUM community itself, so that the GRUM’s programmatic activities are aligned with health needs of its community.

PORTRAIT OF THE GRUM COMMUNITY
The 31 GRUM churches in Greater Buffalo are strategically located in and near every major black and Latino community in Greater Buffalo (Map 13). They are situated in 19 census tracts, with 17 of the census tracts (CT) located in Buffalo City. Fifteen GRUM census tracts are located on the East Side and two on the West Side, including the Black Rock Neighborhood. The remaining two CTs are located in Lackawanna and Niagara Falls. The 19 CTs were organized into 12 residential clusters, with a total population of 71,872 residents, with 85% (N= 60,823) living in Buffalo City, according to the 2008-2012 U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey. Eight of the GRUM clusters are found on the East Side, two are on the West Side, including Black Rock, and there is a GRUM cluster in both Lackawanna and in Niagara Falls.
The Buffalo City East Side
Most GRUM churches (N=24/77%) are located on Buffalo’s East Side and 80% (N=53,267) of the GRUM residents live in this part of Buffalo City. Most significant, the most severe health problems facing blacks and Latinos are located on the East Side, and it is here that the worst housing and neighborhood conditions in the Greater Buffalo GRUM community are found. The East Side, then, is the foundation upon which the GRUM healthcare strategy will be built. Within this framework, the social determinants of health framework suggest that undesirable health inequalities cannot be reduced without radically improving housing and neighborhood conditions. Therefore, the first step in this process is to use a health perspective to gain insight into the city building process driving the development of the East Side.

17 One of the East Side GRUM churches is located on the western side of Main Street, technically making it a West Side church. Nonetheless, because of its proximity to Lincoln Memorial Church, we decided to treat it as an East Side Church.
The East Side

The East Side is undergoing a radical remaking process in which land-use is being repurposed and multiple activities are taking place, which are designed to maximize the profitability of land.\(^{18}\) This remaking process has turned the entire East Side into a Zone of Change (ZOC), with the most intense development activities taking place in the greater downtown district.\(^{19}\) For example, according to the Buffalo Urban Development Corporation (BUDC), millions of dollars of new and planned investments are taking place in the broadly defined downtown Buffalo, which covers a significant portion of the lower East Side. These developments are mostly targeted for the eastern side of Main Street, with investments falling into about six categories: medical/medical related research and development, office construction, tourism and hospitality, residential, mixed developments and a wide variety of unclassified activities. In all, the BUDC says that over $5 billion is being invested in the downtown region, spawning concentric investment zones that spiral outward from the central business district, covering most of the lower East Side.

The Contradiction between Economic and Social Development

These concentric investment zones become priority targets for real estate developers that seek to flip low value lands into high value profit-making ventures.\(^{20}\) For example, the lower East Side is being turned into Buffalo’s prime entertainment destination. It now houses Canalside, HarborCenter, the Cobblestone District, the Seneca Buffalo Creek Casino, the Inner Harbor Infrastructure Project, Silo City, the Outer Harbor, the Michigan Avenue Heritage Project, the Larkin District and the evolving Ohio Street revitalization effort. Further north, but still in the lower East Side, the Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus is triggering massive developments within a 30 block radius, and with such investments rents are skyrocketing in the area.\(^{21}\) To take advantage of the demand for housing, the developer Mark Trammell is proposing the transformation of Pilgrim Village, from a low-income housing development to an apartment building geared for seniors, medical students and higher-paid campus workers. If approved, this development would reduce dramatically the number of affordable housing units available to low-income residents in the Fruit Belt community.\(^{22}\)

---

18 The term gentrification is too narrowly defined to capture the complicated dynamics of ZOC, where land-use conversions occur at multiple levels and that often includes a process of planned abandonment.

19 We use the term, “greater downtown” because the Buffalo planning definition of downtown has included area more than two miles from the Central Business District.


21 Buffalo News, Development near the Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus shows the city is shaking off decades of despair,” October 4, 2004. Online.

In the Upper East Side, Ciminelli Real Estate Corporation has announced plans to build 600 new housing units in the Central Park Plaza, which will catalyze redevelopment activities across the Leroy community. In describing the apartment’s prime clients, Ciminelli said, “We’re looking to the suburban market and people relocating to the area to capitalize on the thousands of jobs being created up and down the Main Street corridor. There will be 10,000 jobs created over the next several years from UB South out to the Medical Campus, and this will be an ideal place for them to live.”

Meanwhile, Bethune Lofts Apartments at the old Buffalo Meter Company, next to Bennett High School, recently opened near UB’s South Campus with rents starting at $1,200 per month.

Not far away from these two apartments, near Mt. Olive Baptist Church, on the border between the Mid- and Upper-East Side, the Empire State Development Corporation’s board of directors recently announced approval of funding for the purchase of about 50 acres of underutilized industrial land on Buffalo’s East Side, for the purpose of developing a business park focused on manufacturing and energy. These properties include about 700,000 square feet of industrial space in the Northland Avenue Belt Line Corridor, an extensive but underutilized industrial zone on the East Side, near Erie County Medical Center (ECMC).

Why does it Matter?

This approach to economic development is destabilizing the lower East Side black community and sending economic tremors throughout the entire east side of Buffalo City, discombobulating neighborhoods and thwarting the black community development process. The reason is that zones of change (ZOC) intensify the contradiction between social and economic development and force many blacks and Latinos to leave their communities.

23 Buffalo News, Ciminelli unveils plan for 600 apartments at Central Park Plaza site, August 25, 2014
24 For a housing unit renting for $1,200 a month to be affordable (30% of income), a person would need to have a yearly income of about $50,000. Few East Side residents could afford rent that high without a roommate.
Black Population Movement and Neighborhood Instability

The remaking of the East Side is resulting in GRUM communities becoming unstable, transitory neighborhoods. This is reflected in the pattern of population movement, which consists of three interrelated trends. First, the center of black population growth and development has shifted from the lower East Side to the upper East Side. About 77% (76,547) of Buffalo’s 100,000 blacks live on the East Side. At one time, the majority of blacks lived in the lower East Side, but now most blacks live in the upper East Side (31,385/41%). Currently, about 31% (31,385) of the East Side black population lives in the mid-East Side and only about 28% (21,425) of the black population lives in the lower East Side.

Second, those neighborhoods along Main Street, and places near the centers of economic growth, are being emptied out. The final trend is that the black neighborhoods on the periphery are growing, on both the East and West Sides. Within this context, blacks are moving into Latino neighborhoods on the West Side, while Latinos are moving into black neighborhoods on the East Side. Concurrently, two trends are occurring among whites. On the one hand, the East Side white population is concentrated in the lower East Side, where they comprise about 44% (19,000) of the population, while the mid- and upper-East Side white populations are rapidly moving out of these neighborhoods as the black and Latino populations increase. For example, only 1,993 whites live in the middle East Side, while about 6,000 whites live in the upper East Side.26

This reshuffling of the East Side GRUM population is pushing African Americans northward into the middle and upper East Side and eastward toward the Town of Cheektowaga border. Map 14 shows the population shifts in the 30 years between 1980 and 2010. The important issue is that blacks and Latinos are stuck in place. They do not have the resources to move out of undesirable locations to neighborhoods where conditions are better. To understand why blacks and Latinos are “stuck in place,” we need to understand more deeply the socioeconomic forces that drive their development.

THE GRUM POPULATION

The GRUM community is a multi-racial one, with African Americans comprising the largest segment of the population (Table 5). The Buffalo GRUM clusters contain about 40% of the black population, with 39% of them living on the East Side (Table 6). Concurrently, 18% of the Buffalo Latino population lives in the GRUM community, with the group being almost evenly divided between the East and West sides.27 On the West Side, the Latino population is clustered together and the GRUM Latino population lives near most of the larger Buffalo Latino community. The East Side Latino population, on the other hand, is more dispersed. There are also about 16,564 (23%) whites living in the GRUM community, with 9,692 (60%) living on the East Side. The East Side black community is the largest (55%) racial group of the GRUM communities, and their community is hub around which the other GRUM communities oscillate.

27 There is a differential in the total population numbers when the figures are calculated by race, which is caused by some double counting with the Latino population. This happens by trying to separate out the non-Hispanic white population. Hispanic whites are a subset of whites, with the other being white Hispanic and Latino Americans.
The GRUM community is a cross-class, multi-racial community that is dominated by low-income social groups. The educational attainment of GRUM community residents provides insight into the challenges they face competing with suburbanites and the larger Buffalo community for middle- and upper-income jobs and opportunities in the Greater Buffalo economy. These educational differentials are important because in the United States a linear relationship exist between educational attainment and income (Figure 7).  

Source: Social Explorer, American Community Surveys, Five Year Estimates

Table 5: GRUM Population by Race 2008-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population by Race 2008-12</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Latino</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>% Black</th>
<th>% Diff Blacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>39,708</td>
<td>9,692</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>53,267</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side</td>
<td>1,877</td>
<td>4,445</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>9,596</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackawanna</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>2,179</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>5,571</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Falls</td>
<td>1,843</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2,811</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>44,686</td>
<td>16,564</td>
<td>5166</td>
<td>5456</td>
<td>71,872</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo City</td>
<td>101,115</td>
<td>130,025</td>
<td>27,505</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>261,955</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Social Explorer, American Community Surveys, Five Year Estimates

Table 6: Changes in the Greater Buffalo GRUM Population between 2000 and 2008-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2008-12</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRUM East Side Clusters</td>
<td>61,941</td>
<td>53,267</td>
<td>-16.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM West Side Clusters</td>
<td>7,900</td>
<td>7,556</td>
<td>-4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM Lackawanna Cluster</td>
<td>5,769</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>-34.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM Niagara Falls Cluster</td>
<td>2,784</td>
<td>2,210</td>
<td>-25.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>78,394</td>
<td>66,797</td>
<td>-15.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo City</td>
<td>292,648</td>
<td>261,955</td>
<td>-11.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County</td>
<td>950,265</td>
<td>919,542</td>
<td>-3.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Social Explorer, American Community Surveys, Five Year Estimates

**Education**

The GRUM community is a cross-class, multi-racial community that is dominated by low-income social groups. The educational attainment of GRUM community residents provides insight into the challenges they face competing with suburbanites and the larger Buffalo community for middle- and upper-income jobs and opportunities in the Greater Buffalo economy. These educational differentials are important because in the United States a linear relationship exist between educational attainment and income (Figure 7).  

In Greater Buffalo, 52% of GRUM residents, 25 years and over, have only a high school education or less, compared to 47% and 40% of Buffalo and Erie County residents respectively (Table 7). These workers with a high school diploma and less are going to be at the very bottom of the regional labor market and opportunity structure. Then, at the upper end of the educational attainment spectrum, 17% of GRUM residents have a Bachelor and/or post-graduate degree, while 23% and 30% Buffalo and Erie County residents, respectively, have Bachelor degrees and/or post-graduate degrees. The bottom line is educational differentials place the GRUM residents in a noncompetitive position in the Greater Buffalo labor market and opportunity structure.
Table 7: Educational Attainment for GRUM Population 25 Years and Older for 2008-12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Population 25 &amp; over</th>
<th>No High School</th>
<th>High School</th>
<th>Some College</th>
<th>Bachelor Degree</th>
<th>Post Grad</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRUM East Side Cluster</td>
<td>32,084</td>
<td>6,751</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9,652</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>9,804</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM West Side Cluster</td>
<td>4,729</td>
<td>1,369</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM Lackawanna Cluster</td>
<td>2,331</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM Niagara Falls Cluster</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38,965</td>
<td>8,778</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11,726</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11,888</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo City</td>
<td>164,739</td>
<td>30,166</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47,295</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>48,791</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County</td>
<td>624,799</td>
<td>66,144</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>181,231</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>188,515</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Social Explorer, American Community Surveys, 5 Year Estimates

Work & Labor Force Participation

Educational inequality has contributed to the marginalization of blacks and Latinos within the Greater Buffalo labor market and opportunity structure (Table 8). This marginalization is reflected most dramatically in 1) low labor force participation rate, 2) low-incomes, and 3) a high poverty rate. The labor force participation rate for the GRUM residents is 53% compared to 60% and 64% for Buffalo City and Erie County residents respectively. This means that increasing numbers of GRUM residents are becoming so discouraged that they have stopped looking for work altogether. Moreover, the high unemployment rate among GRUM workers (15%) means that many community residents are looking for work, but cannot find it.

Table 8: Labor Force Participation for GRUM Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>LFPR</th>
<th>Unemployment</th>
<th>Poverty Rate</th>
<th>% no HSD</th>
<th>Median Household Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>24,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackawanna</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>20,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Falls</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>23,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>30,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>50,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Tracts</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>23,715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Social Explorer, American Community Surveys, 5 Year Estimates
The economic plight of some GRUM members is even worse than the aggregate data suggest. In six Buffalo City GRUM census tracts the unemployment rate was between 16% and 19% and in five census tracts the unemployment rate was 20% or higher. Thus, in 11 of the 17 (65%) of the Buffalo City GRUM census tracts, the unemployment rate is 16% or higher. Moreover, the data suggest that the economic dire straits among GRUM residents are worsening. Between 2000 and 2008-12, the Labor force participation rate fell in 11 (58%) of the 19 GRUM census tracts, while the unemployment rates increased in 15 of the 17 Buffalo City GRUM tracts.

**Income**

These dire straits are also reflected in their low-incomes. In 2008-2012, the GRUM community median household income was $23,715 compared to $30,949 and $50,709 for Buffalo City and Erie County, respectively. At the same time, the GRUM community poverty rate was 35% compared to 27% and 13% for Buffalo City and Erie County (Table 9). Using a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Framework, overall, the GRUM community is considered a very low-income community, where most people live on the economic edge (Table 10).

**Table 9: Socioeconomic Profile of the GRUM Community, 2008-2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>LFPR</th>
<th>Unemployment</th>
<th>Poverty Rate</th>
<th>% no HSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackawanna</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Falls</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Social Explorer: American Community Surveys, 5 Year Estimates

**Table 10: HUD Income Limits for Buffalo, NY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Erie County</th>
<th>1-person families</th>
<th>2-person families</th>
<th>3-person families</th>
<th>4-person families</th>
<th>5-person families</th>
<th>6-person families</th>
<th>7-or more-person families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Low (50%)</td>
<td>$22,250</td>
<td>$25,400</td>
<td>$28,600</td>
<td>$31,750</td>
<td>$34,300</td>
<td>$36,850</td>
<td>$39,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low (30%)</td>
<td>$13,350</td>
<td>$15,250</td>
<td>$17,150</td>
<td>$19,050</td>
<td>$20,600</td>
<td>$22,100</td>
<td>$23,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (80%)</td>
<td>$35,600</td>
<td>$40,650</td>
<td>$45,750</td>
<td>$50,800</td>
<td>$54,900</td>
<td>$58,950</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Income Limits
Housing, Neighborhoods and Health
The reshuffling of the East Side GRUM population is pushing African Americans northward into the middle- and upper East Side and eastward toward the Town of Cheektowaga border. Concurrently, the black and Latino populations are increasing in the West Side GRUM neighborhoods, while blacks in the Lackawanna GRUM community continue to leave for other parts of the metropolis, and the Niagara Falls GRUM community remains very stable (Map 16). Within this framework, the Greater Buffalo GRUM communities are unique places even though they share many of the same characteristics. The reason is the powerful socioeconomic and housing market forces driving the GRUM neighborhood development process operate in the same manner across Greater Buffalo. Within this framework, the housing and neighborhood challenges faced by GRUM communities across Greater Buffalo are important because they contribute to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos.


HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOOD CHALLENGES FACED BY GRUM COMMUNITIES ACROSS GREATER BUFFALO ARE IMPORTANT BECAUSE THEY CONTRIBUTE TO UNDESIRABLE HEALTH OUTCOMES AMONG BLACKS AND LATINOS.
SUBSTANDARD HOUSING AND HOUSING INSECURITY UNDERMINE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CONTRIBUTES TO UNDESIRABLE HEALTH OUTCOMES AMONG BLACKS AND LATINOS.

Housing and Health Question

The problem of inadequate, unhealthy homes is a serious, but underappreciated one in Buffalo. Housing is important because it is the most basic organizational unit in any society. The home anchors the neighborhood. It is the place where everyday life and the struggle to make ends meet are launched. It is the place where children are nurtured and relationships are cultivated, and it is also the place where individuals and families seek refuge from the hostilities and stresses of urban life. Substandard housing places the home at-risk by making it vulnerable to infestations, lead paint, radon, asbestos, mold and mildew, and preventable injury. In addition, housing insecurity causes frequent moves by individuals and families, thereby producing instability in both the neighborhood and household. Thus, substandard housing and housing insecurity undermine community development and contributes to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos.

Housing in the GRUM communities are at-high risk for being substandard, unhealthy and insecure for three interrelated reasons. The first is that housing for most blacks and Latinos are found in the low-income housing market, which is led by small property owners with limited financial resources. In Buffalo, the low-income housing market is dominated by rental properties, which are filtered-down to those residents with the lowest incomes. Within this market domain, most blacks and Latinos are renters, not home owners. In Buffalo City, for example, 61% of the GRUM community residents are renters, and they are dependent on small property owners to provide them with affordable, healthy homes. However, this is not likely to happen in the GRUM communities because of the toxic mixture between old houses and low-incomes (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Substandard Housing on the East Side

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies

---

29 Surprisingly little is known about the low-income housing market. The market consists of public housing, Section 8 housing vouchers, which attempts to monitor housing quality. However, we do not know much about the people owning the investment properties in these communities, with the exception that many seem to be small time investors with limited resources.

Most of the housing units in the GRUM community were built in 1939 or earlier, and the people living in them have low-incomes. The median income for all 12 GRUM clusters is less than $26,000 annually, and the residents in them carry a heavy housing cost burden. In seven of the 12 GRUM clusters, the residents pay over 40% of their income on housing costs. This suggests that most GRUM residents, renters and homeowners, do not have the resources to make improvements in the housing units where they live. This is particularly problematic for renters because they are dependent on small property owners to improve the quality of their housing.

The problem is that most small property owners do not have the financial resources to improve their housing units without raising rents. If the rents are raised, then the housing cost burden is increased on many residents, who are already paying significantly more than the recommended 30% of their income on housing. Therein lays the dilemma. Most of these older homes were built before 1940, resulting in high levels of disrepair, lead paint poisoning, asthma triggers, as well as high utility costs. Transforming these houses into energy efficient healthy places is an expensive proposition, which most low-income property owners cannot afford without grants, subsidies and/or loans. For the most part, such grants and subsidies do not exist and financial institutions are reluctant to make loans to small property owners operating in the low-income housing market. This is the crux of the rental housing challenge facing GRUM residents.

The second challenge is housing insecurity. Many blacks live on the economic margins and pay a sizable portion of their incomes on housing. If rent increases or if the person loses their job, or faces some other type of hardship, they cannot pay their rent and are forced to move. These economic hardships contribute to housing insecurity and help to explain why many black East Siders move frequently. Some people are evicted, while others are forced to leave because they cannot afford the rent, or the home is declared unfit for human habitat, or they leave in search of a better place. Over the past decade hundreds of East Siders changed addresses, and we believe the movement of blacks from location to location is even greater. Although imperfect, the census data show that nine of the 19 GRUM census tracts lost 16% or more of their population over a year. These frequent moves create obstacles to the community building process and the type of social relationships to build strong neighborhoods.

31 UB GRUM Project, Neighborhood Data, Housing, UB Center for Urban Studies.
32 Blue Ribbon Commission, Meeting the 21st Century Housing Challenge, P. 11.
33 Telephone Interview, Clyde Kincaide and Henry Louis Taylor, Jr., October 20, 2014. Kincaide is a small property owner and investor in Inkster, Michigan, a black Detroit suburb.
34 UB GRUM Project, Housing, Vacancies.
The third housing challenge facing GRUM communities is vacancies and abandonment. Planner-sociologist, Robert M. Silverman, in his study of Buffalo, referred to the black and Latino community as “Zombielands” because of the large concentration of vacant and abandoned properties in them. The housing vacancy and abandonment issue has two dimensions. Across the 12 GRUM communities the housing vacancy rate is 23%, which 6% higher than the citywide vacancy rate of 17%. Most importantly, the vacancy rates in 9 of the 12 GRUM clusters in which comparable data exists saw significant increases in the number of vacancies between 2000 and 2008-2012. Also, in those East Side census tracts where the black population increased and the white population decreased, the housing vacancy rates increased significantly. On the East Side, housing vacancy and abandonment appear to be caused by the absence of demand in some neighborhoods, while in others, such as the Fruit Belt, the high vacancy rates appear to be artificially produced by investors seeking to flip their properties from low to high value uses. In both instances, the increases in vacant and abandoned properties spawn neighborhood decline and the growth of unhealthy conditions in the physical environment, which can lead to personal health issues.

GRUM NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS

East Side
The physical environment is problematic throughout the East Side, although conditions vary by community. The lower East Side is riddled with vacant and distressed land (Map 16). In these locales, the sidewalks are poorly maintained and often lack handicap accessibility. The street lighting is inadequate, the vacant lots are unkept, lawn care is poor, many of the houses need paint and repairs and vacancies and housing abandonment are serious issues. Neighborhood conditions are especially poor in the lower East Side, particularly east of Jefferson Street. The lower East Side was ground zero for the City’s urban renewal, road widening and highway construction projects during the 1950s and 1960s. These activities scarred the landscape with numerous “unbuilt” vacant lands and spawned serious environmental challenges, including brownfields.
Map 16: Vacant and Distressed Land in the City of Buffalo

Legend:
- Percentage Vacant & Distressed by Block
- Major Floor Types: All
- Less Than 50% Vacant and Distressed
- 50% to 95% Vacant and Distressed
- 95% or More Vacant and Distressed

Source: City of Buffalo
There are also neighborhood physical environment issues in the middle- and upper East Side, where communities are more built up and have fewer unbuilt vacant lands. Everywhere, blight and housing abandonment is either “creeping” into neighborhoods, or has already become a big problem. In other locations, demolitions have destroyed the neighborhood urban design by creating a landscape characterized by tracts of “unbuilt” vacant land interspersed with housing units (Figure 9). The result is that many East Side neighborhoods have a foreboding visual image, and when the omnipresent danger of street-level violent crime is added to the mixture, the walkability of these neighborhoods is diminished (Figure 10). Walkability is also lessened by the absence of vibrant commercial corridors with services, shops, schools, stores, markets and other destinations that generate animated street life. Most East Side neighborhoods are a combination of food deserts and food swamps. They lack grocery stores where inexpensive healthy foods can be purchased, while they contain many fast food and convenience stores where junk foods can be bought. The sad reality is on the East Side it is easier to buy hamburgers and French fries than fruits and vegetables.

**Figure 9: Lower East Side Neighborhood**
Air and noise pollution are also problematic on the East Side. The problem is most severe in the lower East Side, which is adjacent to downtown Buffalo and traversed with the interstate highway system, major thoroughfares and rail transit. Here, thousands of trucks, cars, buses and trains travel through the community daily, producing air and noise pollution in their wake. These environmental issues are exacerbated by the lack of tree coverage and the heat island effect. Air and noise pollution are also problematic in other East Side neighborhoods. The entire East Side is crisscrossed with a series of major roadways, including Route 33, Bailey Avenue, Main Street, East Delavan Avenue, Jefferson Avenue and Fillmore Avenue, where hundreds of cars, buses and trucks traveling through the neighborhoods regularly, spewing pollution and noise into the atmosphere.
The West Side

The West Side neighborhood infrastructure in GRUM communities is better than the East Side infrastructure, and the community is more walkable and street life is more animated. Even so, there are problems. West Side neighborhood development is driven by the low-income housing market, where the toxic mixture of old houses and low-income negatively impact the housing stock. At the same time, West Side neighborhoods are not scarred by “unbuilt” vacant land, but they do have environmental challenges. West Side neighborhoods are located near the I-190 Interstate Highway, railroads, major industrial sites and the Peace Bridge, causing GRUM neighborhoods to have air quality and noise pollution issues as well (Figure 11). Also, West Side neighborhoods, like their East Side counterparts, are in the Buffalo “Zombielands”, where vacant and abandoned properties are problematic.40

Figure 11: West Side Neighborhoods near the Peace Bridge

Source: Google Earth, 2012

Lackawanna and Niagara Falls GRUM Communities
The neighborhood landscape in the Lackawanna and in the Niagara Falls GRUM Communities is similar. Both places are situated on former heavy industrial lands, where brownfields are omnipresent and demolitions have severely scarred the landscape (Figure 12). Both neighborhoods are auto-dependent ones that are not very walkable. The streetscapes are barren and the neighborhoods are low-density with little street life. In Lackawanna, the GRUM community is located near the former Bethlehem Steel Plant and is sandwiched between the railroad tracks, Route 5 and the old industrial waterfront. There are virtually no shops or stores in this area, except for a few convenience stores. The Niagara Falls GRUM community has a similar landscape and in both communities public housing is the best housing available for the residents.

Figure 12: Niagara Falls GRUM Community

Google Earth, 2012
Why it Matters?

The housing and neighborhood conditions in GRUM communities matter because they contribute to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos. This is a structural issue driven by the low-income housing market and the neoliberal approach to economic development. Unless resolved, these structural issues will continually create barriers to good health among blacks and Latinos because of three interrelated reasons. First, most blacks and Latinos are renters and their housing is supplied mostly by small property owners who do not, or cannot, afford to transform their homes into affordable, energy efficient and healthy housing units. Until this is changed, most blacks and Latinos are going to live in unhealthy homes that contribute to undesirable health outcomes.

Second, housing is not affordable for many black and Latinos. Many pay 40% or more of their income on housing. This is problematic for two reasons. First, the high cost of housing limits the resources they have to spend on other items, including food, medicine and visits to the physician. Second, financial problems force an indeterminate number of residents to move, while others move in the continued quest to find a good place to live.

Lastly, in shrinking cities vacancy and housing abandonment is endemic. In Buffalo, however, this is not a random problem. Rather it is an issue associated with black and Latino neighborhood development. Silverman referred to these black and Latino community as “Zombielands” because of the large concentration of vacant and abandoned properties in them. This is related to the Buffalo City neighborhood development process, which appears to operate at two, seemingly contradictory levels. At the first level, when blacks and Latinos move into neighborhoods, whites typically begin moving out. Even on the West Side, where housing and neighborhood conditions are better, the neighborhood change process operates the same; as blacks and Latinos move into the GRUM communities, whites move out, thereby reducing the demand for housing in these locales. This is particularly problematic on the East Side, which has lost more than three times more population than the West Side. This problem will continue to produce issues for East Side, and to a lesser degree, the West Side. These poor neighborhood conditions, especially air quality and noise pollution, will remain problematic without intervention. Yet in those sections of the East Side where the economy is booming, as blacks leave the neighborhoods, whites are moving in. We believe we are seeing the onset of traditional gentrification in these places.

At another level, as blacks are pushed out of the sections of the East Side experiencing economic growth, they are being relocated in neighborhoods along Buffalo’s eastern border, and this is increases financial hardships by

---

increasing the distance they must travel for critical services, especially those available for Medicaid patients (Map 17). The Erie County Medicaid population is concentrated in Buffalo, and blacks are the most critical group among the Medicaid population. However, a spatial analysis of Medicaid service providers shows that most are concentrated on the West Side and outside Buffalo City. Therefore, the gradual relocation of blacks to the City’s eastern boundaries will increase the cost and travel time of patients to the services they need.


Source: US Census, American Community Surveys, 5 Year Estimates

BLACKS ARE BEING PUSHED OUT OF SECTIONS OF THE EAST SIDE EXPERIENCING ECONOMIC GROWTH WHICH INCREASES FINANCIAL HARDSHIPS BECAUSE OF A GREATER DISTANCE THEY MUST TRAVEL FOR CRITICAL SERVICES, ESPECIALLY THOSE AVAILABLE FOR MEDICAID PATIENTS.
EVERYDAY LIFE AND CULTURE: WHAT THE GRUM PARISHIONERS AND RESIDENTS THINK

You cannot understand the reality of the GRUM community without knowing what the parishioners and GRUM residents think about issues impacting neighborhood life and health care. Toward this end, we conducted 249 surveys with GRUM parishioners and neighborhood residents. Eighty-two percent (N=203/249) of these interviews were held at 11 public meetings, and 42 (17%) were self-administered. About 32% (N=80/249) of the respondents lived in GRUM neighborhoods, with the remaining 169 respondents scattered across the East Side, West Side, South Buffalo and suburban communities outside of Buffalo (Table 11). Given the dispersal of the population taking the survey, we aggregated the data, as well as analyzed it by section of Greater Buffalo.

Table 11: Participants in the GRUM Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Greater Buffalo</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Side</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Buffalo/Suburbs</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Information</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUM Census Tracts</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies

Who are the GRUM Survey Respondents?

A discussion of the demographic make-up of the survey participants is important because their racial, ethnic and class status will help us interpret their responses to questions about the Greater Buffalo health care system, health, health literacy, nutrition and fitness, and the housing and neighborhood conditions found in their communities. The socioeconomic profile of the GRUM survey participants paints the picture of a complex population, with a profile that differs somewhat from the larger GRUM neighborhood population. The survey respondents consisted of three groups: respondents who live on the East Side, respondents who live on the West Side and those respondents who lived in South Buffalo/Suburbs and other locations including Lackawanna and...
Niagara Falls. Map 18 shows the residential location of survey participants in Buffalo City. Only 32% (N=80/249) of the respondents lived in the GRUM community, so the views of these respondents will be reflective not only of the realities of the GRUM census tract community, but also of the experiences of black and Latinos who live in the larger Greater Buffalo community. Nonetheless, because about 80% of the respondents lived in Buffalo City (N=200/249), with 53.4% (N=133/249) and 27% (N=67/249) residing on the East Side and West Side respectively (Map 18) we will gain even deeper insight into the factors contributing to undesirable health outcomes among blacks and Latinos.

Map 18: Residential Location of Buffalo Survey Participants

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
This is critically important because it means that a significant number of the respondents lived in a neighborhood setting similar to the GRUM community residents. Thus, even if they did not live in the same location, given the “stuck in place” thesis, we would anticipate the neighborhood experience to be comparable. Within this context, most of the respondents identified themselves as African Americans (N=169/232/72.8%) and Latinos (N=42/232/18.1%) with a handful of African, Native Americans, whites and other people color.

**Table 12: Racial and Ethnic Profile of GRUM Survey Respondents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial and Ethnic Profile</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>East Side</th>
<th>West Side</th>
<th>South Buffalo/Suburbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>232</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>129</strong></td>
<td><strong>66</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies

**Education**

The racial and ethnic dimension aside, the respondents to the survey were a somewhat more affluent population. Class, even within an African American and Latino setting, is still important. These differences must be taken into consideration when evaluating the responses of the survey informants. For example, the survey respondents are more highly educated than the majority of GRUM community residents. Twenty-three percent (N=51/221) of survey respondents had a college degree and/or additional graduate studies. This percentage is not only more than that of GRUM community residents (15%), but is also higher than the citywide (20%) and county (27%) percent of residents with a college degree and/or graduate studies.

**Work and Income**

The higher levels of education attainment are also reflected in the income and employment status of the respondents. Although, we have no accurate data on the age of respondents, it is estimated, based on visual observations, that most were 40 years and older. The employment status suggests that most respondents are either retired or working full-time. About 35% (N=78/222) of the respondents are retired. Among the respondents, 49% currently participate in the labor force (N=108/222), 72% (N=78/108) are employed full time and 28% (N=30/108) hold part-time jobs. Only 8.3% (N=9/108) and
are unemployed. Twelve percent (N=27/222) of the survey respondents are on public assistance.

These figures notwithstanding, there was a significant low-income cohort among the survey respondents. Twenty-nine percent had only a high school diploma or less education and 38% (N=83/221) had “some college,” but no degree. These individuals are likely to be at the bottom of the Greater Buffalo economic ladder. Only 173 of the survey respondents answered questions regarding their annual income. So, we have to be very careful interpreting this data. With this caveat, about 55% (N=95) of the respondents made $29,999 or less, while 20% (N=34) made $30,000 to $49,999 annually, and 25% (N=44) made over $50,000 annually.

Who Owns a Car?
The last socioeconomic issue to explore is car ownership. Car ownership matters because people who own their car experience the city and region differently from those who are dependent on public transportation. Overall, 72% (N=160/223) of the survey respondents owned a car, but there were significantly differences in the ownership rate in different sections of the Greater Buffalo GRUM community. Within this context, car ownership was highest among the South Buffalo/suburbanites (N=33/89%) and lowest among the West Siders (N=37/61%). Seventy-two percent of East Siders owned automobiles.

THE SURVEY
The survey explored dimensions of health that are interwoven with everyday life and culture in the GRUM community: housing and neighborhood conditions; health literacy; grocery shopping, nutrition and exercise; and access to the health care system.

Tell Us About Your Housing and Neighborhood
Housing and neighborhood conditions are critical components in the quest to produce desirable health outcomes and eliminate health inequalities. Housing anchors neighborhoods, and the two form the primary place in which people live out their lives. Therefore, understanding the housing and neighborhood conditions is a perquisite to improving the health status of the GRUM parishioners and community residents.

Do You Rent or Own?
Unpacking the housing tenure question is the first step in the quest to understand the housing and neighborhood condition of survey respondents. So, we asked the respondents if the owned or rented the house in which they live. Across the Greater Buffalo GRUM community, about 53% (N=120/226)
of the respondents owned their homes, but these figures varied across the different sections of the GRUM community. As expected, the highest rate of homeownership was in the South Buffalo/Suburbs community, where 66% (N=23/35) of the respondents owned their home. On the East Side, 58% (N=73/126) owned their home, while only 37% (N=24/65) of West Side residents owned their housing units. Among these homeowners, we wanted to know how many paid a mortgage on the housing in which they lived. About 58% (N=64/111) of the respondents said they still paid a mortgage.

The housing tenure question matters because renters must depend on property owners to improve the quality of their housing units. Moreover, renters are more likely to move than homeowners. Thus, communities with large renter populations are more likely to be more transient than those communities dominated by homeowners. Among the survey respondents, in all three Greater Buffalo GRUM community sectors, there is a large renter class, especially on the West Side, where 63% (N=41/65) of the respondents were renters. On both the East Side and in the South Buffalo/Suburban communities, although smaller, there is still a critical mass of renters. Next, we wanted to know how many people lived in the homes of the survey respondents. Sixty-eight percent of the respondents (N=155/227) had from one to three people living in the same household, with a mean of 1.4 persons per household. Yet, it is important to note that there were deviations from this norm. The point is that large households, although not the majority, are not usual in the Greater Buffalo GRUM community.

**How Old is Your House?**

The age of the housing unit matters because the maintenance cost of housing increases with age. Thus, because of the cost of maintenance and repairs, older housing units increase the economic burdens on the owners, including the landlord and property management class. Most of the survey respondents lived in older homes. Seventy-four percent (N=164/223) of respondents lived in housing units that were forty years of age or older. Only 13% (N=30/223) of the respondents lived in housing units that were 20 years old or less. These housing units ranged in type, with the detached single-family (N=113/222/51%) and the two-family units (N=72/222/32%) being the dominant types. Others resided in multi-family homes (11%), townhouses (3%) and side-by-sides (2%)

**Housing Problems**

Given the age of these housing units, it is important to know the types of issues they have. The top problems reported by the respondents were drafty windows (N=80/249/32%), drafty doors (29%), and being cold in winter (29%). These issues will increase utility costs, as well as produce health problems. Given the age of these housing units, the “peeling paint” issue reported by 14% of the respondents raises concern about the lead paint problem. Indeed, six percent
of the respondents said that lead paint was an issue in their homes, along with four percent who said that asbestos was an issue for them. Additionally, about 10% of the respondents indicated that they had issues with “leaky roofs,” others reported “bad smoke detectors (8%), space heaters (7%) and issues with insects (5%), rodents (4%) and ticks (1%). Collectively, these issues paint the picture of housing units with multiple problems, which can produce health issues.

We asked the survey respondents to use a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor, to “rate the condition of your house.” Fifty-four percent (N=122/226) rated their homes as “neither good nor bad”, “bad”, or “very bad”. Of this number, 33% percent rated their home as tolerable (neither good nor bad), 13% rated it as bad, and 8% rated its condition as very bad. On the flipside, forty-six percent (N=104/226) of the survey respondents rated the condition of their housing units as “good” (21%) to “very good” (25%). What this suggests is that a significant number of the survey respondents live in housing with problem issues that need addressing.

The Neighborhood Place

Housing is embedded in a larger neighborhood context, so we asked the respondents to tell us about their neighborhood. On a scale of 1 – 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very bad, we asked the respondents to rate eight neighborhood issues: unkept vacant lots, poor sidewalks, abandoned houses, drug houses, crime, lack of parks, playgrounds, play areas, trash and garbage on the ground, and homes in need of paint/major repairs (Table 13). Within the Greater Buffalo GRUM community, the neighborhood rating varied on the basis of geographic sector. East Side survey respondents rated five of the eight neighborhood issues (unkept vacant lots, poor sidewalks, abandoned housing, crime, and houses in need of repair), as “neither good nor bad.” On the other hand, West Siders and South Buffalo/Suburbs did not give a single issue a 3.0 or higher rating. Moreover, in the South Buffalo/Suburbs community, they rated four of the eight neighborhood issues (abandoned houses, drug houses, lack of parks and playgrounds, trash and garbage on the ground) as very good. Two other neighborhood issues (crime and houses in need of paint/major repairs) were rated as good (2.0).

Most significantly, while crime was viewed as an issue on the East Side, it was considered much less of an issue on the West Side and in the South Buffalo/Suburbs community. We also asked the residents to use the 1 – 5 scale to rate the services found in their neighborhood. Forty-four percent (N=55/126) of the East Siders rated the services in their neighborhood as ranging from poor to very poor, while, while only 18% (N=12/65) of West Siders and 22% (N=8/37) of South Buffalo/Suburbs rated services in their neighborhood as poor to very poor.
The Health Literacy Rate

Health literacy refers to the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions, including insight and knowledge of chronic diseases, nutrition and exercise, along with the ability to negotiate successfully the health care system.

Chronic Diseases

About 63% (N=158/249) of the respondents indicated that they had a chronic disease, with more than half of this population reporting that they had more than one chronic disease. We then asked the survey respondents how adequate was their knowledge of chronic diseases on a rating scale with 10 being very knowledgeable and 1 being not knowledgeable at all. The respondents’ perceived knowledge of the chronic diseases varied on the basis of where they lived within the Greater Buffalo GRUM community. Those living in South Buffalo/Suburbs had the greatest perceived understanding of the listed chronic diseases. Most of their scores ranged from 7.0 to 9.0, with their knowledge of diabetes and high blood pressure being the highest, and their understanding of AIDS/HIV and kidney disease being the lowest (Table 14).

Table 13: How would you rate your neighborhood on the following listed community conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>East Side</th>
<th>West Side</th>
<th>South Buffalo/Suburbs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean N</td>
<td>Mean N</td>
<td>Mean N</td>
<td>Mean N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unkept Vacant Lots</td>
<td>3.0000 114</td>
<td>2.5517 58</td>
<td>2.2143 28</td>
<td>2.7600 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Sidewalks</td>
<td>3.1261 119</td>
<td>2.7636 55</td>
<td>2.1724 29</td>
<td>2.8916 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned Housing</td>
<td>3.0517 116</td>
<td>2.7170 53</td>
<td>1.9286 28</td>
<td>2.8020 197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Houses</td>
<td>2.7636 110</td>
<td>2.8627 51</td>
<td>1.8621 29</td>
<td>2.6526 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td>3.2458 118</td>
<td>2.5556 54</td>
<td>2.0333 30</td>
<td>2.8812 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of parks/playgrounds</td>
<td>2.8151 119</td>
<td>2.7358 53</td>
<td>1.6897 29</td>
<td>2.6318 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage on ground</td>
<td>2.7179 117</td>
<td>2.5769 52</td>
<td>1.8000 30</td>
<td>2.5427 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houses in need of paint/major repairs</td>
<td>3.1008 119</td>
<td>2.9231 52</td>
<td>2.0333 30</td>
<td>2.8955 201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1 = Very Good, 2 = Good, 3 = Neither Good or Bad, 4 = Bad, 5 = Very Bad)

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
The West Siders perceived knowledge of chronic diseases was the lowest. All their scores were in the 5 to 6 range, which is that gray area between knowledgeable and not knowledgeable at all. Their understanding of kidney disease, cancer and heart disease were the lowest, while their stated understanding of high blood pressure and obesity were the highest. The East Side ratings were higher, but still close to that divide between “knowing” and “not knowing.” East Siders knew the most about high blood pressure and depression and the least about kidney disease. Over all, knowledge about kidney disease was the lowest, while knowledge of high blood pressure is the highest. Considering the important link between high blood pressure and kidney disease, this finding is troubling and suggests that the respondents perceived knowledge of these diseases could be hiding a high level of ignorance about them.

Next, we wanted to find out where the respondents obtained their information about health. To gain insight into this issue, we asked the respondents to select from a list of nine items, in order of importance, their three most important sources of health information. About 55% (N=99/179) of the respondents said their most important source of health information came from doctors/clinics. The second preferred source of health information was friends/family (N=47/151/31%) and the third preferred choice was the Internet (N=28/136/21%). What stands out in these responses is that many people do not have a clearly defined source of information about health issues. Most of

| Table 14: GRUM Survey Responses to Knowledge about Chronic Diseases |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                     | N       | Total Mean | n         | East Side | N       | West Side | N       | South Buffalo/Suburbs |
| Diabetes            | 229     | 7.0131    | 123       | 7.0244    | 62      | 6.1774    | 34      | 8.3824                  |
| High Blood Pressure | 235     | 7.5404    | 128       | 7.5312    | 62      | 6.7742    | 34      | 9.0000                  |
| Heart Disease       | 233     | 6.5536    | 126       | 6.7698    | 63      | 5.7937    | 33      | 7.1818                  |
| Cancer              | 227     | 6.5242    | 123       | 6.6341    | 60      | 5.6000    | 33      | 7.6364                  |
| Kidney Disease      | 225     | 5.9200    | 123       | 6.3252    | 58      | 5.1379    | 33      | 5.6970                  |
| Asthma              | 231     | 6.9221    | 126       | 7.1508    | 62      | 6.0806    | 32      | 7.3125                  |
| Obesity             | 229     | 7.0524    | 125       | 6.9520    | 60      | 6.4167    | 33      | 7.8788                  |
| Depression          | 229     | 7.1354    | 122       | 7.4754    | 63      | 6.2540    | 33      | 7.0303                  |
| AIDS/HIV            | 232     | 6.8190    | 125       | 7.0080    | 63      | 6.2381    | 33      | 6.8485                  |

(10=very knowledgeable and 1=not knowledgeable at all)

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies
them rely on a combination of the doctor/clinic, friends/family, television and the internet. Also, what is significant for the purpose of this study, is that only a very small number of respondents identified the ministers/church as a source of health information.

**Food Security**

Food security is critical to developing a healthy lifestyle, eliminating health inequalities and producing desirable health outcomes. Within this context, food security is not only about people having “access to sufficient, safe, nutritious food to maintain a healthy and active life”, it is also about having the knowledge and resources required to purchase “safe, nutritious” food. In this part of the survey on health literacy, we sought to gain insight into the resident’s understanding of nutrition and exercise and, within this framework, we sought to gain insight also into the socioeconomic forces that thwart the respondent’s ability to access “safe, nutritious” foods.

**Grocery Shopping**

In the United States, food security starts with grocery shopping. So, we wanted to know where the respondents most often shop for groceries. Most said they either shopped for groceries at major grocery stores (N=108/227/48%) and stores that sold a combination of food and retail items (N=86/227/38%). Surprisingly, almost none (N=2/227/0.9%) said they shopped at Farmers Markets. The grocery shopping habits of the GRUM respondents varied on the basis of the geographic district in which they lived. Most East Siders and GRUM respondents living in South Buffalo/suburbs purchased most of their food from major grocery stores, but on the West Side, most respondents grocery shopped at convenience/drug stores (21%) and at mixed food stores/retail outlets (46%), such as Walmart and Walgreens.

This could be a reflection of the low automobile ownership rate on the West Side, which limits food shopping options. On this point, we asked the respondents to tell us how they usually got to the grocery store for shopping. While the great majority of respondents (N=191/230/83%) used their own car for shopping, a significant number of residents did not, especially those living in Buffalo City. About 17% (N=40/230) used a variety of methods to get to the grocery store, including buses, taxies and walking. Also, it should be stressed that on the West Side, an indeterminate number of respondents ride to the grocery store in the cars of friends.

Once inside the grocery store, we wanted to know what factors influenced their decision to purchase certain items. Using a scale of 1-10, with 10 being very important and 1 being not important at all, we asked the respondents to rate ten variables. On the East Side and the West Side, the highest rated variable was “item price,” while in South Buffalo/suburbs it was “my personal likes.”
In all three sectors of the Greater Buffalo GRUM community, the “nutritional value” of food ranked behind other priorities. For example, on the East Side and in South Buffalo/Suburbs it ranked fourth on the list of ten variables, while on the West Side it ranked third. In all three sections of the Greater Buffalo GRUM community, the “brand name” name of a food item ranked near the bottom. Also, of some concern, on the West Side, a “children like” ranked very high (average 7.04), while it ranked very low on the East Side (4.7) and in South Buffalo/Suburbs (4.7).

**What Are Your Eating Habits?**
Eating habits matter because the foods you consume can affect your health and put you at risk for certain diseases. For these reasons we wanted to gain insight into the eating habits and foods consumed by the respondents. To begin, we asked the respondents to tell us how many meals they eat daily. Across all sections of the GRUM community, most residents ate two meals a day (N=176/228/77%). We then asked the respondents if they ate breakfast, lunch and dinner regularly. About 40% (N=93/231) of the respondents said they did not eat breakfast regularly, with about 46% (N= 60/131) of East Siders saying they do not eat breakfast.

Most respondents eat lunch (71%) and dinner (92%). Next, the respondents were asked to list the foods they would normally eat for breakfast, lunch and dinner. An assessment of the foods eaten suggests that most residents do not have a balanced diet consisting of the appropriate mix of carbohydrates, protein and fibers. The foods consumed at all three meals tended be either heavily weighted toward carbohydrates or toward protein. Most respondents tended to vacillate toward one extreme or the other. Lastly, we asked the respondents to rate their diets on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor. They responded rated their diets as being on the divide between “being very good” and “being very poor.”

**Exercise: Why it Matters**
Exercise matters because regular physical activity helps to improve one’s overall health and fitness, and reduces their risk for many chronic diseases. For this reason, we wanted to gain insight into how active was the respondent’s lifestyle. Toward this end, we asked the respondents about walking, biking, gardening, and how often they workout in a gymnasium or a fitness center. Lastly, we asked the respondents to estimate how much exercise they get weekly. The respondents were about evenly divided between those who walked less than 30 minutes a day (N=107/220/49%) and those walked more than 30 minutes daily (N=113/220/51%). Biking is not a very popular form of exercise among the GRUM respondents. Seventy-two percent (N=161/224) said they never biked, while only 6% (N=14/225) said they biked regularly. Gardening also was not a popular activity. Fifty-five percent (N=124/227) of
the respondents said they never garden, while only 14% (N=31/227) said they frequently gardened. Respondents also did not go regularly to the gymnasium to work out. Sixty-one percent (N=14/228) said they never go to the gym, while only 9% (N=20/228) said they frequented the gym regularly. Nonetheless, 51% (N=110/217) of the respondents said they engaged in anywhere from two to three or more hours of exercise weekly.

**Access to Health Care: Let’s See a Doctor**

All but three of the survey respondents had some form of health insurance, with about 44% (N=109/249) covered by Medicaid and Medicare. Since monetary resources do not appear to be an obstacle to accessing health care for the survey respondents, we wanted to know where they would go for medical care if they were sick. Fifty-eight percent (N=132/226) said they would visit a private physician’s office, while 38% of the survey respondents said they would visit one of several area emergency rooms. Within this framework, we wanted to know how frequently the respondent’s visited a doctor, clinic or hospital. We asked them when they last visited a doctor or medical facility. Seventy-five percent (N=170/227) of the survey respondents said “less than six months ago,” while 18% (N=40) went to the doctor within a year. We asked the respondents to tell us the reason for their last visit to the doctor or medical office. Seventy-six percent (N=167/220) said they went for a regular medical check-up. About 22% (N=48/220) said they were sick. Both a follow-up and reinforcing question was “do you have regular medical check-ups?” Most survey respondents said they visited the doctor for regular medical check-ups. Still, there were interesting differences in the answers of respondents from the three sections of the Greater Buffalo GRUM communities. Among the three GRUM sectors, West Siders (N=50/63/79%) were least likely to have regular medical checkups, while East Siders (N=113/124/91%) were the most likely.

Lastly, to obtain a deeper understanding of the relationship between the survey respondents and medical personnel, we asked them a series of seven questions. On a scale of 1 – 10, with 10 always and 1 never, we asked, “do you trust the doctor and/or nurse that you visit?” The respondents rated their trust of doctors and nurses at a high level. In the second question, we asked, “Are you afraid of going to the doctor?” On the rating scale, most respondents rated the fear factor near the high end of the “never” spectrum. The respondents said they had little trouble understanding instructions from the doctor, following instructions on the label of medicines, and being able to ask questions about their health problems.

**The Focus Groups**

To deepen our understanding of the surveys, 82% (N=203/249) of the survey respondents also participated in the focus groups. This made it possible for them to elaborate on issues and discuss their concerns in greater detail. In
the focus groups, no effort was made to control for the geographic area in which the respondents live, or differences in socioeconomic conditions. Rather, we wanted to have an open-ended discussion, where the respondents were encouraged to speak frankly about their issues and concerns, as well as discuss those things that were very satisfying. The focus groups made it possible to add texture to the surveys, especially since most of the respondents participated in both activities.

NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS

Service Deserts

While most residents liked their neighborhoods, the lack of services, shops and stores force them to travel to other parts of the city and suburbs to get needed goods and services, thereby making life more expensive and difficult.\(^{45}\) The threat of neighborhood crime and violence was another element that made life anxious and stressful for the respondents, although most said they had adapted. Even so, their concerns about crime and violence never went away, especially for parents, who constantly worried about their children getting into trouble. The reported incidences of crime give a sense of the realities faced by inner-city residents. Many may not have been a victim of crime, but they know people who have been and they know that criminal activities and violence are part of the landscape of their communities (Map 19).\(^{46}\)

---

\(^{45}\) In the surveys, a number of East Siders expressed concern about neighborhood services, but West Siders and South Buffalo/Suburb respondents seemed more satisfied with the quality of services in their communities. However, regardless, the focus group members were highly critical of the absence of services in their neighborhoods.

\(^{46}\) The surveys indicate that this view may shift depending on where in the Greater Buffalo GRUM geography a person lives.
Map 19: Crime Incidents in GRUM Communities

Source: UB Center for Urban Studies & Buffalo Police Department
Food Deserts & Swamps and the Suburban Food Market
In this neighborhood setting, on both the East Side and West Side, developing a healthy lifestyle is difficult. The GRUM neighborhoods are food deserts and food swamps, which mean that residents must travel outside of their communities to grocery shop. In many of these neighborhoods, there are fast food restaurants, convenience stores, service stations and pharmacies where residents can purchase junk foods. Most focus group respondents owned a car or had easy access to an automobile, so life was easier for them. A large number of these respondents lived on the East Side, but they usually shopped for groceries on the West Side or in the suburbs, with most saying they preferred shopping in the suburbs because prices were lower and the food fresher. The respondents who depended on public transportation did not have these choices, so most of them grocery shopped on the West Side, with a few occasionally buying food on the East Side.

Eating Healthy is Not Easy
Within this context, the respondents said buying healthy foods was an expensive and challenging job. The consensus was that healthy foods were more expensive, and this, combined with their limited knowledge of nutrition, made buying healthy foods a very difficult task. In some respects, cooking healthy meals was even more challenging. While most respondents seemed to know what constituted a healthy meal, they had trouble operationalizing this knowledge in the real world. Aside from the issue of buying healthy foods, many respondents said the demands of work and other responsibilities kept them from consistently cooking healthy meals. Others said they simply did not know how to prepare inexpensive, culturally appropriate, healthy meals, while some noted that stress causes you to crave foods that make you feel good, and that these types of foods are usually unhealthy. Some respondents said that we live in a world where people are always on the run, which makes healthy eating very difficult.

Exercise: The Neighborhood is Not Made for Walking
The respondents also said getting regular exercise was problematic. The majority of focus group participants said they were usually too tired, or had no time to work out; while others said the neighborhood environment was a deterrent to walking and other outside activities. Here, the residents were mostly concerned about issues of safety and the problem of unleashed dogs. Getting regular exercise was also made challenging by the absence of neighborhood-based facilities for exercising and the reality that most focus group respondents had no gym memberships. This is an important issue in cities such as Buffalo, where the winters are long, cold and snowy. The snowy conditions are made even worse because many sidewalks are impassable during the winter months, forcing people to walk in the streets (Figure 12).
In 2000, a statewide report showed that Buffalo ranks third in pedestrian fatalities and nationally it ranks eighth in the percent of traffic deaths that were pedestrian.\textsuperscript{47} So, walking can be fatal in East Side neighborhoods. On the West Side and in the South Buffalo/Suburbs, walking in the neighborhoods might not be a big issue. Still, the long, difficult winters are a disincentive to walking. The surveys also indicated that most respondents were not walkers. If you do not have some place to work out, exercising on a regular basis is going to be very challenging. But even so, some respondents said they regularly walked, biked, or gardened in the neighborhood, or got their exercise by taking care of the children. The focus group and surveys suggest that the respondents do not have an “active living culture.”

THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

Going to the Doctor

Lastly, we will discuss the views of focus group participants on the health care system, especially their attitudes toward visiting a doctor’s office or clinic. These comments are particularly interesting because the surveys suggest that the respondents have a more positive attitude about going to the doctor office than the focus group discussions indicated. Most respondents said in the focus groups that going to a doctor’s office or clinic was expensive, inconvenient and time consuming. They complained about the co-pays and the cost of transportation, including gasoline and parking, or bus or taxi fares. The respondents especially found the long waits at the doctor’s office or clinic frustrating and annoying, and a complete waste of time. For many respondents, a combination of the cost of a visit and long waits were deterrents to regularly visiting a doctor’s office or clinic.

Other respondents believed that you only go to the doctor when you are sick. On this point, a man said, “If I feel good, I ain’t going,” while others said that going to the doctor was your last resort; something that happened only after your home remedies failed. For others, fear made them reluctant to visit the doctor’s office or clinic. Some of these individuals were afraid of being diagnosed with a chronic disease, especially if they had a family history of cancer, hypertension and the like, while others said that if you are already under pressure, you do not need the added stress of knowing you have a chronic disease. So, you put off going to the doctor. You just don’t want to hear any more bad news.

Finally, for many respondents, trust and the quality of care were big issues. The insights offered here are particularly interesting since the surveys suggested that a high level of trust existed between the doctors and respondents. One respondent summed up this viewpoint by simply saying, “Doctors don’t care like they used to.” He said this attitude was reflected in waiting a long time to see the doctor, and then being rushed in and out of his/her office. Others agreed. Other respondents complained about going to a clinic and being seen by a nurse, student, or an inexperienced intern, rather than a “real” doctor.

These data show that many of the attitudes and beliefs of blacks and Latinos problematizes health care delivery in their community. For example, if you believe that you go to the doctor only when you are sick, then you are likely not to get the screening that detects illnesses early in their pre-symptomatic stage.
PART 4: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS

1. The Greater Buffalo Health Care System has failed to devise and implement a strategy to delivery effective health care services to the black and Latino communities. The two prime performance indicators supporting this finding are the premature death rates and infant mortality rates among blacks and Latinos.

2. There is a direct connection between housing and neighborhood conditions and the undesirable health outcomes found in the black and Latino neighborhoods.

3. These health inequalities are concentrated on the East Side residential area, where blacks are overrepresented. The five zip codes (14204, 14206, 14211, 14212 and 14215) where the Erie County Department of Health draws 73% of its clinical patients are located on the East Side.

4. The remaking of the black East Side is turning the black community into an unstable place, which increases hardship and spawns health challenges. This destructive remaking process is made possible because blacks are building their community on land owned by other people. The problem is that blacks want to develop their community, but the property owners want to make profits on the land. Profit making and economic development is conflicting with community building.

Neighborhood stability matters for four interrelated reasons:

- Social supports and nurturing are critical for producing desirable economic, social, health, and educational outcomes.

- Social supports come from a network of relations with family, friends, acquaintances, and friends.

- Social capital combined with familiarity to construct a neighborhood infrastructure that facilitates trust, solidarity, reciprocity, collaboration, and problem-solving.

- Individuals embedded in stable settings are more likely to have the supports needed to grapple successfully with the stressors and social forces that produce risky behavior, outlaw culture, bad eating habits, overeating, smoking and drug use.

5. Substandard, unhealthy homes are a problem, which is complicated by three interactive issues.
a. Most GRUM residents live in housing units that they do not own. So, they must rely on absentee property owners to upgrade and improve the quality of their homes, which are often drafty, have leaky roofs, asbestos, infestations and are at-risk for lead.

b. Many GRUM homeowners have low-incomes and cannot afford to upgrade, modernize and upgrade the quality of their homes.

b. It is difficult for homeowners and property owners to get financial assistance to make housing improvement in GRUM communities.

6. The health inequalities in Greater Buffalo cannot be eliminated without the radical transformation of the East Side community. The reason is that undesirable health outcomes are concentrated and interwoven into everyday life and culture in this residential area.

7. The GRUM community is composed of three distinct geographies: East Side, West Side, and old industrial suburbs. Although each geography is unique, health inequalities is a neighborhood effect in each community, but it manifests itself differently in each locality. Therefore, each segment of the GRUM community must devise a strategy that focuses on its own particularities.

8. The Erie County uninsured and Medicaid populations are overrepresented in the five East Side zip codes and in the GRUM West Side and Lackawanna communities.

9. Health literacy is a serious problem in the GRUM Community. The problem is most dramatically expressed when the survey respondents were asked, “How adequate is your knowledge of the following chronic diseases?” The respondents were give a list of nine chronic diseases and asked to rate their knowledge of these diseases using a scale that ranged from 1 – 10, with 10 being very knowledgeable and 1 being not knowledgeable at all. In all GRUM communities, the respondents indicated that they were “very knowledgeable” about high blood pressure (hypertension). Concurrently, in all communities the respondents said they were “least knowledgeable” about kidney disease. The problem is that high blood pressure will trigger kidney disease if not properly managed. This leads to the conclusion that the perceived knowledge of the respondents about chronic diseases and other health issues is much lower than their actual knowledge of these issues.

10. GRUM communities are food swamps and deserts that contribute to undesirable health outcomes. This reality is summed up in the observation that it is easier to buy hamburgers and French fries in
the GRUM communities that it is to purchase apple and oranges.

11. Most GRUM residents have poor diets and do not exercise regularly. Their neighborhoods are not very walkable, have few recreational centers and are food swamps/deserts and service deserts.

12. A tension exists between GRUM community residents and the Greater Buffalo Health System. At the core of this tension is the belief that medical personnel are not really concerned about their health.

   a. Focus group respondents say that going to the doctor’s office or clinic is expensive, inconvenient and time consuming.

   b. Some respondents say you go to the doctor’s office or clinic only when you are sick.

   c. Others believe that doctors don’t really care about them. One respondent put this way, “They call it a medical practice, but they just want to practice on us.”

This viewpoint is problematic on three levels. First, it means that people are less likely to get the check-ups required for early diagnosis and treatment. Second, it means that they are more likely to end up with emergency room visits. When they are sick and need urgent care, the doctor’s appointment schedule, especially if he or she is a specialist, is not likely to accommodate them. So, they go to the emergency room. Third, because they delay treatment until symptoms appear and interrupt everyday life, their rates of hospitalization are likely to be much higher than others.cing their housing unit at-risk for becoming unhealthy.
RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Greater Buffalo Urban Ministries (GRUM) churches should be turned into decentralized health care and community development centers, which are connected to the Greater Buffalo Accountable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN).

GRUM consists of both large and small churches with an aggregate membership of over 8,000 parishioners and more than 53,000 community members. They blanket the East Side and are situated in and near every black and Latino community in Greater Buffalo. This, combined with their partnership with the GBUAHN, give them the potential to change significantly the health outcomes in the black and Latino communities.

To realize their potential in practice it will be necessary to build the capacity of the various churches and provide them with the necessary infrastructure to carry out their mission.

Within this framework, a strategic plan should be developed to guide the work of turning GRUM churches into decentralized centers of health care and community development. Regardless of the form they ultimately take, GRUM churches must develop hyper-aggressive neighborhood outreach if they are to be successful.

2. Formulate a strategy for GRUM churches to enroll their parishioners and community members into the Medicaid program and develop a program of health related activities that target this population.

3. The housing and neighborhood conditions in the black and Latinos neighborhoods must be radically transformed if their health outcomes are going to be dramatically improved. The issues outlined in this report cannot be successfully addressed without forging a strategy to turn the communities where blacks and Latinos live into healthy and vibrate neighborhoods in which to live, work, play and raise a family. Therefore, we call for the establishment of a Place-Orientated Development Strategy (PODS) for every GRUM church residential cluster in the Greater GRUM community.

Many of the neighborhood-based health obstacles facing blacks and Latino communities, including low-quality housing, neighborhood blight, health literacy, food and housing insecurity, crime, educational attainment and others cannot be solved outside of the neighborhood context. Therefore, a multi-faceted institution, with the capacity to build trust with the neighborhood, is needed to carry out this health and community building mission. GRUM churches are ideally situated to carry out this task.

4. Develop a Neighborhood-based Health Literacy Program. Such a program should have the capacity to grapple with two dimensions of
the health literacy issue. The first is to provide people with a range of materials and experiences on a sustained and regular basis that target chronic diseases, nutrition, physical fitness, mental health and other information to help them understand and navigate the health care system. The second is to provide them with the ability to translate their health knowledge into practical activities, and to make necessary behavioral changes. The goal of this effort is to change people’s behavior and make healthy living the dominant lifestyle in GRUM communities.

5. Develop Neighborhood-based programs and activities to address the food security and physical fitness health challenges. These types of programs can take a variety of forms and should be led by the GRUM churches. Most significantly, they should be made part of the larger POD strategy, which should be implemented in every GRUM community. This is added as a separate recommendation to emphasize its importance.

6. Establish a system of formative and summative evaluations, which uses an outside evaluator to assess the GRUM program and monitor its progress. These evaluations should be used as a tool to strengthen the program and plot a course for continued improvement and development. The evaluation process should be used to monitor program movement towards achieving critical benchmarks and to make sure that the program does not deviate from its original intent.

7. GRUM needs to develop a larger collaborative with partners that help with various aspects of the health and neighborhood development strategy.
PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

1. Number and percentage of GRUM churches that are established as official GRUM health and community development centers.
   a. Although a larger and more complex evaluative system will be established for each of these GRUM health and community development centers, one element of that evaluative system will be the number and percent of parishioners and community residents enrolled by the center.
   b. The target population for the GRUM community will be those residents living in the immediate GRUM neighborhood and those living in the larger GRUM community, as defined by the census tract in which the church is located.
   c. Establishment of an electronic system, including the appropriate personnel, with the capacity to create and maintain a comprehensive system of data, which makes it possible to acquire and track multiple sources of data.
   d. Establishment of a GRUM system wide method of researching and establishing baseline data on a number of health and neighborhood variables needed to track and monitor the progress of GRUM in improving health outcomes in the GRUM community.

2. Number and percentage of GRUM parishioners and community residents, between 18 and 64 years of age, who have at least one chronic disease and that are at-risk for acquiring another, that are enrolled in Medicaid.

3. Number and percentage of GRUM Medicaid enrollees that are serviced by the GBUAHN Health Home.

4. Reduction in the number and percent of preventable hospitalizations among GRUM parishioners and community residents enrolled in the Medicaid program:
   a. Reduction in the number and percent of preventable hospitalization and deaths caused by chronic diseases among GRUM parishioners and community residents enrolled in the Medicaid program.
   b. Reduction in the number and percent of premature deaths among GRUM parishioners and community residents.

5. The number and percent of GRUM Medicaid members that live at the same address over five to 10 years after enrolling in the program.
## APPENDIX A

### GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Care Act</td>
<td>The comprehensive health care reform law enacted in March 2010 that put into place comprehensive health insurance reforms that contain key provisions intended to extend coverage to millions of uninsured Americans, to implement measures that will lower health care costs and improve system efficiency, and to eliminate industry practices that include rescission and denial of coverage due to pre-existing conditions.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.healthinsurance.org/glossary/affordable-care-act/">http://www.healthinsurance.org/glossary/affordable-care-act/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Census) Block Group</td>
<td>The smallest geographic used by the U.S. Census Bureau for statistical divisions of census tracts and are generally defined to contain between 600 and 3,000 people, and are used to present data and control block numbering. A block group consists of clusters of blocks within the same census tract.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/gtc/gtc_bg.html">https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/gtc/gtc_bg.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census Tract</td>
<td>A term used by the U.S. Census Bureau to refer to small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county or equivalent entity that are updated by local participants prior to each decennial census as part of the Census Bureau’s Participant Statistical Areas Program. The primary purpose of census tracts is to provide a stable set of geographic units for the presentation of statistical data. Census tracts generally have a population size between 1,200 and 8,000 people, with an optimum size of 4,000 people. A census tract usually covers a contiguous area; however, the spatial size of census tracts varies widely depending on the density of settlement. Census tract boundaries are delineated with the intention of being maintained over a long time so that statistical comparisons can be made from census to census. Census tracts occasionally are split due to population growth or merged as a result of substantial population decline.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/gtc/gtc_ct.html">https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/gtc/gtc_ct.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease</td>
<td>A chronic disease is one lasting 3 months or more, by the definition of the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics. Chronic diseases generally cannot be prevented by vaccines or cured by medication, nor do they just disappear.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=33490">http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=33490</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Care</td>
<td>Clinical care refers or pertains to a clinic or to the bedside; pertaining to or founded on actual observation and treatment of patients, as distinguished from theoretical or basic sciences.</td>
<td><a href="http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/clinical">http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/clinical</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Neighborhood</td>
<td>A neighborhood facing decline in regards to the socioeconomic standing of its residents as well as the physical condition of the built environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Desert</td>
<td>Food deserts are defined as urban neighborhoods and rural towns without ready access to fresh, healthy, and affordable food. Instead of supermarkets and grocery stores, these communities may have no food access or are served only by fast food restaurants and convenience stores that offer few healthy, affordable food options. The lack of access contributes to a poor diet and can lead to higher levels of obesity and other diet-related diseases, such as diabetes and heart disease.</td>
<td><a href="http://apps.ams.usda.gov/fooddeserts/fooddeserts.aspx">http://apps.ams.usda.gov/fooddeserts/fooddeserts.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Security</td>
<td>The World Health Organization states that food security exists “when all people at all times have access to sufficient, safe, nutritious food to maintain a healthy and active life”. Commonly, the concept of food security is defined as including both physical and economic access to food that meets people’s dietary needs as well as their food preferences.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.who.int/trade/glossary/story028/en/">http://www.who.int/trade/glossary/story028/en/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Buffalo</td>
<td>The geographical area encompassing the City of Buffalo and surrounding suburbs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRUM Cluster</strong></td>
<td>A GRUM church or group of GRUM churches grouped together based on their proximity and location within the City of Buffalo or Greater Buffalo to facilitate the analysis of those geographic areas that are faced with similar neighborhood and socioeconomic challenges.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Health**      | A state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not just the absence of sickness or frailty.  
http://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/definitions.html |
| **Health Behavior** | Individual actions that directly affect one’s health. |
| **Health Disparity** | A type of difference in health that is closely linked with social or economic disadvantage. Health disparities negatively affect groups of people who have systematically experienced greater social or economic obstacles to health. These obstacles stem from characteristics historically linked to discrimination or exclusion such as race or ethnicity, religion, socioeconomic status, gender, mental health, sexual orientation, or geographic location. Other characteristics include cognitive, sensory, or physical disability.  
http://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/definitions.html |
| **Health Equity** | When all people have "the opportunity to ‘attain their full health potential’ and no one is ‘disadvantaged from achieving this potential because of their social position or other socially determined circumstance’".  
http://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/definitions.html |
| **Health Factors** | Issues including health behaviors, access to care, social and economic influences, and physical environment issues that impact health outcomes. |
| **Health Indicators** | A quantifiable measure relating to the achievement of specific health outcomes. |
| **Health Inequity** | A difference or disparity in health outcomes that is systematic, avoidable, and unjust.  
http://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/definitions.html |
| **Health Literacy** | The degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information needed to make appropriate health decisions and services needed to prevent or treat illness.  
http://www.hrsa.gov/publichealth/healthliteracy/healthlitabout.html |
| **Health Metrics** | Benchmarks for health outcomes used to measure the health of a population. |
| **Health Outcomes** | The resulting state of one’s physical, mental, and social well-being. |
| **Health Rankings** | A comparison and classification of health outcomes for a specific geographical areas. |
| **Heat Island Effect** | A term used to describe the phenomenon when built up areas that are hotter than nearby rural areas.  
http://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/definitions.html |
| **Life Expectancy** | The average age a person or population is expected to live. |
| **Multi-Scalar Analysis** | This is an approach to analysis that is conducted on multiple scales and uses multiple variables to assess a specific issue or question. |
| **Neoliberalism** | An approach to economics and social studies in which control of economic factors is shifted from the public sector to the private sector. Drawing upon principles of neoclassical economics, neoliberalism suggests that governments reduce deficit spending, limit subsidies, reform tax law to broaden the tax base, remove fixed exchange rates, open up markets to trade by limiting protectionism, privatize state-run businesses, allow private property and back deregulation. |
| **Performance Indicators** | A set of quantifiable measures used gauge or compare performance in terms of meeting strategic and operational goals.  
http://www.investopedia.com/terms/k/kpi.asp |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population Transiency</td>
<td>This term refers to the movement of the population within a geographic area, such as a neighborhood, city or county within a short period of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature Death</td>
<td>The age-adjusted loss of years of life due to death before age 75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Quality of life (QOL) is a broad multidimensional concept that usually includes subjective evaluations of both positive and negative aspects of life. What makes it challenging to measure is that, although the term “quality of life” has meaning for nearly everyone and every academic discipline, individuals and groups can define it differently. Although health is one of the important domains of overall quality of life, there are other domains as well—for instance, jobs, housing, schools, the neighborhood. Aspects of culture, values, and spirituality are also key aspects of overall quality of life that add to the complexity of its measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Desert</td>
<td>For this report, service deserts are defined as urban neighborhoods that lack services, shops and stores found in other communities that allow individuals and families access to the goods and services they need on a daily basis. Service deserts force people to travel to other parts of the city and metropolitan region to get needed goods and services, thereby making life more expensive and difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Capital</td>
<td>Social capital refers to the institutions, relationships, and norms that shape the quality and quantity of a society’s social interactions, allowing it to function efficiently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Determinates of Health</td>
<td>The complex, integrated, and overlapping social structures and economic systems that are responsible for most health inequities. These social structures and economic systems include the social environment, physical environment, health services, and structural and societal factors. Social determinants of health are shaped by the distribution of money, power, and resources throughout local communities, nations, and the world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Geography</td>
<td>Social Geography studies the spatialization of society in a particular place or region. It is an inherently multi-disciplinary field that continues to evolve and grow as we realize that everything is in fact interconnected and related. It is also a branch of human geography dealing with social relationships and structures, and the interrelations between these; the environment of a place or region, as it relates to, or is affected by, society and social factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-Demographics</td>
<td>This term refers to data that is collected and analyzed based on a combination of social and demographic factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic Inequality</td>
<td>Discrimination and inequity for a group or race of people based on economic and demographic factors such as income level, poverty level, labor force participation rate, unemployment rate, etc. due to structural barriers imposed on that group or race.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Units</td>
<td>The geographic area used to analyze a particular set of data. Often; these areas have been predetermined based on preexisting boundaries, such as a census block or a city boundary. However, researchers often determine appropriate spatial units in circumstances where no predefined boundary exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Land-Rent Gradient</td>
<td>The general definition of this term refers to the value of parcel(s) of land in a central city as it relates to its location within the city. The cost of land within this dynamic is affected by the physical, social, and economic environment at any given time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Housing</td>
<td>An empty building that is not in use, but the owner is known. In most cases the building is foreclosed or owned by a bank.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windshield Survey</td>
<td>Observing the physical features of the built environment, either on foot or in a moving vehicle, to help determine certain neighborhood level conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone of Change</td>
<td>The radical remaking and alteration of the social and/or physical dimensions of a specific geographical area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX B
### LIST OF PUBLIC MEETINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster #</th>
<th>GRUM Host Site</th>
<th>Public Meeting Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 1</td>
<td>Pilgrim Baptist Church 665 Michigan Avenue Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>9/11/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>First Centennial Baptist Church 373 High Street Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>9/9/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>Hopewell Baptist Church 1301 Fillmore Ave Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/18/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 4</td>
<td>White Rock Baptist Church 480 E Utica St Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/25/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 5</td>
<td>Lincoln Memorial United Methodist Church 641 Masten Ave Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/26/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 6</td>
<td>Nazareth Baptist Church 475 Berkshire Ave Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/19/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 7</td>
<td>Elim Christian Fellowship 70 Chalmers Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/21/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 9</td>
<td>Revival Church of Buffalo 195 Lafayette Avenue Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>9/2/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 10</td>
<td>Thankful Missionary Baptist Church 187 Sumner Place Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>8/28/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C
INFORMED CONSENT FORM

Informed Consent

Project Title: Greater Buffalo Urban Ministries (GRUM) Community Health Needs Assessment Project

Please read this consent form carefully before you decide to participate in the study

Purpose of the research study: The purpose of the study is to develop a community health needs assessment for GRUM member churches and the neighborhoods in which they are located.

What you will do in the study: Participants will be asked to complete a survey and participate in a focus group by answering questions connected to your health and health care.

Time Required: About two (2) hours

Risks: There are no anticipated risks.

Benefits: There are no direct benefits for participating in the study.

Confidentiality: The information that you provide will be handled confidentially. Your name will not be used in any report, nor will your name be associated with any specific information. Your signed consent form will be filed with those of all of the other participants and will be placed in a locked file, separate from any other research files associated with this study.

Voluntary participation: Your participation in the study is completely voluntary. If you choose not to participate, there will be no penalty or loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled.

Right to withdraw from the study: You have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty.

How to withdraw from the study: If you want to withdraw from the study, please tell a project team member quietly and leave the room. There is no penalty for withdrawing.

Payment: You will receive no monetary payment for participating in the study.

Who to contact if you have questions about the study: Dr. Henry L. Taylor, Jr., UB Center for Urban Studies, School of Architecture and Planning, Room 7 – Hayes Annex C, 3435 Main Street, Buffalo, NY 14214-3087.

Who to contact about your rights in the study: SUNY at Buffalo, Office of Research Compliance, Social and Behavioral Sciences IRB, Clinical and Translational Research Center, 875 Ellicott St., Room 5018, Buffalo, New York 14203

Participant’s signature Date Project Director’s Signature Date
GRUM COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS SURVEY

Hello, my name is (*your name*), and I am working with the project team for the Greater Buffalo United Ministries (GRUM) Community Health Needs Assessment team.

The purpose of this interview is to provide the GRUM churches, in partnership with the Greater Buffalo United Accountable Healthcare Network (GBUAHN), with the data and information needed to build an interactive health care network between GBUAHN (the network) and the GRUM churches and their immediate neighborhoods. This health network will be designed to provide services to residents that are 18 years and older and that are either covered by Medicaid or eligible for Medicaid. This network will give you easy access to improve resources for your health and well-being.

ALL INFORMATION IN THIS SURVEY WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL NOT BE SHARED WITH ANY OTHER PERSONS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR INSTITUTIONS.

Administrative Information

Survey Number: ___________  Date: ___________
GRUM Church_________________________  GRUM Cluster Meeting #_________

Personal Data

Time Ended: ___________
Address: _______________________________
Date of Birth: ___________________________
Gender: Male____  Female____  Other____
Race:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Native American</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

How long have you lived in Buffalo? ___________
How long have you lived at your current address? ___________
Health Knowledge

We want to get an understanding of what you know about health issues.

1. Where do you **most often** get health information? (Select three items from the list below and number them in order of importance, 1 being most important).

   a. Friends or family
   b. Minister
   c. Television
   d. Internet
   e. Radio
   f. Book about health
   g. Doctor or clinic
   h. Magazine
   i. Newspaper
   j. Other (list them: ____________________________________________)

2. On a scale of 1-10, where 10=always and 1=never - Do you trust the doctor and/or nurse that you visit?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. On a scale of 1-10, where 10=always and 1=never - Are you afraid of going to the doctor?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=always and 1=never - Do you have trouble understanding what the doctor or nurse says to you?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

5. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=always and 1=never - When visiting a doctor or nurse, do you ask them questions about the health problem(s) you are having?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

6. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=always and 1=never - Are you able to read the instructions for the medicine the doctor gives you?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

7. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=always and 1=never - Do you have trouble following the instructions on the label of your medicine?

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
8. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=always and 1=never - Do you have trouble understanding and filling out medical forms?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

9. On a scale of 1-10, where 10=very knowledgeable and 1=not knowledgeable at all – How adequate is your knowledge of the following chronic diseases?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Blood Pressure/Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS/HIV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nutrition and Health Knowledge**

1. We want to know about the foods you eat on a typical day.

   a. Do you regularly eat breakfast?
      Yes ___    No ___

      If yes, List the foods that you would normally eat at breakfast:
      1. ____________________________________________________
      2. ____________________________________________________
      3. ____________________________________________________
      4. ____________________________________________________

   b. Do you regularly eat lunch?
      Yes ___    No ___

      If yes, List the foods that would eat for lunch:
      1. ____________________________________________________
      2. ____________________________________________________
      3. ____________________________________________________
      4. ____________________________________________________
c. Do you regularly eat dinner?
Yes ____  No____

If yes, List the foods that would normally eat for dinner:
1. ________________________________________________________
2. ________________________________________________________
3. ________________________________________________________
4. ________________________________________________________

d. How Many Meals do you eat daily?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>More than 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor - How would you rate your diet?

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. List three (3) places where you normally go to grocery shop?

1. ________________________________________________________
2. ________________________________________________________
3. ________________________________________________________

g. How do you usually get to the grocery store for shopping?

Bus____ Car____ Friend____ Taxi____ Walk____
Other (please indicate) ____________________________

h. How often do you go to the convenience store in your neighborhood:

Often____ Not often____ Rarely____ Never____

i. How would you rate the services found in your neighborhood?

Excellent____ Very Good____ Good____ Poor____ Very Poor____

2. On a scale of 1-10, where 10=very important and 1=not important at all - How important are the following factors in influencing what you buy when shopping for groceries?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional Value</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Item on Sale</th>
<th>Coupons</th>
<th>WIC Eligible</th>
<th>Foods I Like</th>
<th>Dietary Needs</th>
<th>Foods my Children Want</th>
<th>Advertisement</th>
<th>Brand Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. We want to know how much exercise you get daily and weekly.

How often do you engage in the following activities?

a. Walking
   Less than 30 minutes daily____   More than 30 minutes daily____

b. Gardening
   Often____   Sometimes ___   Never ____

c. Biking
   Often____   Sometimes ___   Never ____

d. Go to a Gym/Fitness Center
   Often____   Sometimes ___   Never ____

e. Please estimate how much exercise you get weekly:
   30 minutes ____   1 hour____   2 to 3 hours____   More than 3 hours____

f. Is your job:
   Sedentary____   Slightly Sedentary____   Active____   Very Active____

g. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor - How would you rate the amount of your weekly physical activity?

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Neighborhood Conditions**

1. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=very safe and 1=not safe at all - How safe do you feel in your neighborhood?

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. On a scale of 1-10 where 10=very safe and 1=not safe at all - How safe do you feel in your home?

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. On a scale of 1 – 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very bad - How would you rate the following community conditions?

a. Unkempt Vacant lots _____
   b. Poor Sidewalks _____
   c. Abandoned Housing _____
   d. Drug Houses _____
   e. Crime _____
   f. No parks, playgrounds or play areas _____
   g. Trash and Garbage on ground _____
   h. Houses in need of paint/major repairs _____
### Housing Conditions

1. Please estimate the age of your home:
   - 0-20 Years
   - 21-40 Years
   - 41-60 Years
   - 61-80 Years
   - 80 Years and older

2. Do you own or rent the house in which you live?
   - Own
   - Rent

3. Do you pay rent or a mortgage in the housing unit in which you live?
   - Yes
   - No

4. Estimate the percent of your monthly income that is spent on housing (rent /mortgage, utilities, repairs, and other expenses) ______%

5. How long have you lived at your current residence?
   - Less than one year
   - 1-2 Years
   - 2-5 Years
   - 5 or more Years

6. How many bedrooms are in your housing unit? ______

7. How many people live in your housing unit? ______

8. What type of house do you live in?
   - Detached Single home
   - Two-family home
   - Side by Side home
   - Townhouse
   - Multi-family home

9. Check all the items or conditions found in your home:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockroaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Working Smoke Detectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Paint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeling Paint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafty Windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafty Doors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House is cold in the winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Heaters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaky Roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaky Pipes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor - How would you rate the condition of your house? (Please check the most appropriate number)

    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
    |---|---|---|---|---|
# Access to Health Care

1. Is there a doctor’s office, particular clinic, or hospital emergency room that you go to if you are sick or need advice about your health? (If YES, please check the box below to next to the place of health care that you go to)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Medical Care</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No regular medical care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Physician’s Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie County Medical Center - Emergency Room (ECMC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo General - Emergency Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women and Children’s Hospital - Emergency Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisters of Charity Hospital - Emergency Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASH / Immediate Care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Comprehensive Health Care Clinic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Medical Source</td>
<td>(list or name below)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. We are also interested in knowing what type of health insurance you have, if any. How do you cover the costs of your health care? (Please check all the company(s) that applies to you)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance Provider</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None/ Self Pay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Burton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Cross Blue Shield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidelis Care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGH Insurance Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure / Don’t Know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please list below)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Not everyone needs or wants to see a doctor every time they are sick. We are interested in knowing what other types of things you do to get better when you are sick, besides going to a doctor. (Please answer yes or no to the following questions)

a. Do you wait until sickness passes, or is over?
   Yes____ No____

b. Do you use medicines you can get at the drug store or supermarket without a prescription?
   Yes____ No____

c. Do you use home remedies?
   Yes____ No____

d. Do you seek the help of a chiropractor, someone who uses body adjustments to cure, such as adjusting the spinal column to cure back pain?
   Yes____ No____

e. Do you seek an acupuncturist, someone who uses needles and pressure to cure?
   Yes____ No____

f. Do you seek the advice of a folk healer?
   Yes____ No____

g. What other strategies do you use? (Please list all that apply)

_______________________________________
_______________________________________
_______________________________________
_______________________________________

_______________________________________
Prevalence of Chronic Disease

1. Below is a list of chronic diseases. Please check each disease that you have:

- Diabetes
- High Blood Pressure/Hypertension
- Arthritis
- Kidney Disease
- Cancer
- Asthma
- Heart Disease
- Stroke
- Depression/Generalized Anxiety
- Lupus
- Bipolar disorder
- AIDS/HIV
- Obesity
- Multiple Sclerosis
- Other (Please list below)

I don’t have any chronic diseases

2. Now, we want to know how frequently you visit a doctor, clinic or hospital.

   a. When was your last medical visit?
      - Less than 6 months ago _____ 6 months to 1 Year _____ 1 to 2 Years ago _____
      - 2 to 3 Years ago____ Over 3 Years ago____ Have never seen a doctor _____

   b. What was the reason for your last visit to the doctor?
      - Regular check-up____ I was sick____ Don’t Recall____

   c. Do you have regular medical check-ups?
      - Yes_____ No_____

   d. If you answered yes, how often do you visit a doctor?
      - Once a year____ Twice a year____ Every now and then____

   e. If you do not have regular medical check-ups, please tell us why:
More Data on you

1. What was the last grade you finished in school?
   - No Education
   - Highest Grade Completed (Check one)
     - 1
     - 2
     - 3
     - 4
     - 5
     - 6
     - 7
     - 8
     - 9
     - 10
     - 11
     - 12
   - Some college
   - College Graduate
   - Graduate School

2. What is your country of birth? ____________________________

3. What is your primary language? ____________________________

4. What is your estimated annual income?
   1. $0.00 to $14,999
   2. $15,000 to $29,999
   3. $30,000 to $49,999
   4. $50,000 to $69,999
   5. $70,000 and above
   6. I cannot answer

5. Are you employed?
   Yes____ No____

6. If you are employed, do you work:
   Full time____ Part-time____

7. Are you retired?
   Yes____ No____

8. Are you on disability?
   Yes____ No____

9. Are you currently on Public Assistance?
   Yes____ No____

10. Do you own a car?
    Yes____ No____

11. What is the name of your Neighborhood?
    ____________________________

12. Are you currently covered by Medicaid?
    Yes____ No____

Thank You!
APENDIX E
FOCUS GROUP QUESTIONS

GRUM Focus Group Questions:

1. When you go grocery shopping, how do you typically get to the store? I will name five places: Your Neighborhood, East Side, West Side, Buffalo, and Suburbs -- Raise your hand when Identify the place where you do most of your grocery shopping takes place.

2. Do you experience trouble getting the groceries into your house or apartment?

3. What are the main factors do you consider when buying grocery?

4. What are the things that keep you from buying the type of groceries or food that you want and need?

5. How much exercise do you get on a weekly basis? What type of exercise is it? What things [problems or other challenges] keep you from exercising? What about walking in your neighborhood? Do you walk around the neighborhood to get exercise? If not, what things keep you from doing this?

6. I am going to ask a series of questions about health and exercise:
   a. What do you think a good breakfast would consist of:
   b. What do you think a good lunch would consist of:
   c. What do you think a good dinner would consist of:
   d. What factors keep people from eating a good breakfast, lunch, dinner?

7. Are you a caregiver for someone in your family—grandchildren, parents, relatives?

8. What things [problems] keep you from going to the doctor [or clinic] for visits or regular medical check-ups? [To be examined and take test to make sure that you have no illnesses].

9. What would make it easy for you to visit the doctor or clinic when you needed to go?

10. Where do you go to purchase medicine: raise your hand when I name the place you are most likely to go to get the medicine you need [neighborhood, East Side, West Side, Buffalo, Suburbs].

11. When you need help, such as doing chores, getting help when you are sick and in bed, feeling down and need someone to talk to, who would you most likely contact?

12. What are three things you like the most about your neighborhood?

13. What are three of the most important problems for people living in your neighborhood?
PREGUNTAS PARA GRUPO DE ENFOQUE:

1. Cuando vaya de compras, cómo suelen llegar a la tienda? Voy a nombrar cinco lugares: Su vecindario, El Este, El Oeste, Buffalo y los Suburbios -- Levante la mano cuando identifique el lugar en que hace la mayor de sus compras comestibles.

2. ¿Has experimentado problemas llevando su compra a su casa o apartamento?

3. ¿Cuáles son los principales factores que consideras al comprar comestibles?

4. ¿Cuáles son las cosas que le impide comprar el tipo de alimentos o alimentos que usted quiere y necesita?

5. ¿Cuánto ejercicio haces a la semana? ¿Qué tipo de ejercicio es? ¿Qué cosas [problemas u otros desafíos] impiden que hagas ejercicio? ¿Qué acerca de caminar en su vecindario? ¿Caminas alrededor de su vecindario para conseguir ejercicio? Si no, ¿qué cosa le impide?

6. Voy a preguntarte una serie de preguntas sobre la salud y el ejercicio.
   a) ¿Qué piensas un buen desayuno consistiría?
   b) ¿Qué piensas un buen almuerzo consistiría?
   c) ¿Qué piensas una buena cena consistiría?
   d) ¿Qué factores impiden que la gente coman un buen desayuno, almuerzo, y cena?

7. ¿Es usted un cuidador para alguien en su familia--niños, padres, parientes?

8. ¿Qué cosas [problemas] te impiden ir al médico [o clínica] para visita o chequeos médicos regulares? [Para ser examinado y tomar la prueba para asegurarse de que no padece ninguna enfermedad].

9. ¿Qué haría más fácil para que usted visite al médico o la clínica cuando necesitaba ir?

10. A donde va a comprar la medicina: levante la mano cuando nombro el lugar que tienen más probabilidades de ir a conseguir el medicamento que necesitas [vecindario, El Este, El Oeste, Buffalo, los Suburbios?]

11. Cuando usted necesita ayuda, por ejemplo, hacer las tareas, obtener ayuda cuando este enfermo y en cama, deprimido y necesita alguien con quien hablar, quien sería su más probable contacto?

12. ¿Cuáles son tres cosas que mas le gusta de su vecindario?

13. ¿Cuáles son tres de los problemas más importante para las personas que viven en su vecindario?
UB Center for Urban Studies Community Health Needs Assessment

GRUM Church Questionnaire

Background Information

1. Date____________________________________________
2. Church Name_____________________________________
3. Completed by_____________________________________ 
4. Contact E-Mail Address_____________________________
5. What is the name of the neighborhood in which the church is located___________________
6. Address________________________________________
7. Pastor _________________________________________

Church Information: If the data is not readily available to answer these questions, then estimate to the best of your knowledge, the answer to the following questions.
8. Number of Parishioners___________________________
9. Racial Make-up
   a. African American____________
   b. Black [other countries]________
   c. White____________
   d. Asian______________
   e. African___________
   f. Caribbean________
   g. Hispanic _____________
   h. What are the ethnic backgrounds of the Hispanics church members? Indicate the approximate percentage.
      i. Puerto Rican_____ 
      ii. Cuban____
      iii. Mexican_____
      iv. Others______

   i. What percent of your parishioners are recent immigrants:__________

   j. Languages spoken in Church other than English:
      i. ____________________
      ii. ____________________
      iii. ____________________
      iv. ____________________
10. Congregation: by neighborhood we are referring to four to five square block or the immediate census tract in which the church is located. To the best of your ability, estimate the...
   a. Percent Living in Neighborhood_______.
   b. Percent Living outside of Neighborhood___________.
   c. Percent Living in Buffalo___________.

11. What Percent of the members of your church do you estimate are eligible for Medicaid (133% of the poverty level or $15,551 for a one-person family) ___________.

12. What Percent of the members of your immediate church neighborhood are eligible or currently enrolled in Medicaid (estimate)_____________

Neighborhood rating

13. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor, how would you rate the neighborhood in which the church is located in terms of the following:
   a. Crime: 1___2___3___4___5___
   b. Housing: 1___2___3___4___5___
   c. Physical environment: 1___2___3___4___5___
   d. Access to parks, groceries, schools, services: 1___2___3___4___5___
   e. Overall condition: 1___2___3___4___5___

14. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being very good and 5 being very poor, how would you rate your church’s relationship with neighborhoods within three to four square blocks of the church.
   1____2____3____4____5____.

15. Please list the programs that your offer the neighborhood residents
   a. ______________________________________
   b. ______________________________________
   c. ________________________________________
   d. ________________________________________
   e. ________________________________________
APPENDIX F
WINDSHIELD SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Instructions for the Google Virtual Street Level Windshield Survey and Satellite Scan

You can use Google Earth, Walkscore, or Google My Map, but the directions must be followed regardless of the program used.

1. In this survey, the church is the focal point of the neighborhood. The neighborhood boundaries are based on the church location, and it should be the start point in the windshield survey.

2. The boundaries for each of the neighborhoods are found in the GRUM cluster file. Use the boundaries to determine what streets should be canvassed.

3. Go into Goggle Maps, and place the icon on the street to be entered.

Place the Icon at the street entry
4. *Line up the cursor so that it is on the street that you want to go down.*

5. *Situat the map so that the left hand side of the street is facing you. The houses should be flush with the screen.*

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**Figure 1:** This is the picture you should see when the review starts. Make sure you are on the left side of the street.

6. If you place the oval cursor on a house it will turn into a rectangle. Do a left click on the mouse, and it will properly situate the left hand side of the street on your screen.
7. Your evaluation will be for the entire street. As you move from lot to lot, please make note of the ratings for the five variables. Rate each side of the street, and then rate the entire street. Your final score should be based on a summation of the ratings of all streets in the neighborhood.
8. To move down the street, left clique the mouse one time. That should move you about one house. You will to get some experience in keeping it focus on the one side of the street. Make sure the arrow is in the oval, which should be ahead of the directional arrows.

9. **On each stop:** (1) examine the sidewalk and curb (2) the land maintenance (3) House (4) vacant lot if one exists. Notice things like storage on the front porch [lawn mower, couch, and other items].
Your assessment should be very systematic. Evaluate the curbs, then the sidewalks, and next the house. Look first at the yard—does it have flowers, is it landscaped, and well-maintained—and then the house—does it need paint/stairs/porch/windows, roof. If there is a vacant lot, you still move systematically from the curb, sidewalk, and then to the vacant lot.
The Variables

Neighborhood Condition Index

**Physical condition of Sidewalk**

1. **Excellent**: Well paved, good curbs (handicap accessible), room for people to pass.
2. **Good**: A few cracks, passable, curbs need some repair.
3. **Fair**: Many cracks, passable, curbs poorly maintained, need some repair.
4. **Poor**: Numerous cracks, uneven slabs, tripping big possibility, passing is problem, no handicap accessibility; missing slabs; need major repair, no handicap accessibility.

*Figure 3: Use the larger context to look for environmental pollution and other issues. When making this satellite view, note issues like proximity to parks, railroad tracks, large highways and other issues that could impact air quality and/or access to parks, playgrounds and other recreational sites.*